NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | August 21, 1939 | Subscription: Three Dollars per Year | 10c

A. F. OF L. AUTO UNION WILL DRAW THOUSANDS

HOMER MARTIN
International President U.A.W.

WILLIAM GREEN
President A. F. of L.

ORGANIZATION PROGRAM OFFERS AMERICAN LABOR A 'NEW MODEL' FOR 1940

THE NEWS:

DETROIT, MICHIGAN—Thirty ace organizers of the American Federation of Labor, under Francis P. Fenton, national director of organization, are assisting International President Homer Martin and regional directors of the United Automobile Workers in their drive to enlist workers of the automobile industry in a responsible labor union, committed to the living annual wage.

Meanwhile, 80,000 auto workers in the Detroit area were to have a secret ballot to determine their collective bargaining agency.

Impetus for the A. F. of L. organization drive was furnished by the fiasco of a month-long C.I.O. strike which has tied up plants of the General Motors Corporation. The A. F. of L. already claims more than 80 per cent of the G.M. workers.

COMMENT:

The American Federation of Labor is supported by more than 3½-million dues-paying members of prosperous and responsible labor unions. The history of the American Federation of Labor is an open book for a long period of years. The A. F. of L. makes contracts and keeps them.

"We are not organizing a revolution; we are organizing a trade union," International President Martin told Michigan automobile workers in announcing the new membership drive.

"Automobile workers," he added, "are sick of tramping picket lines. They are sick of constant bickering, battling and strikes. They want to work in peace and security under fair conditions from management."

"It is not our purpose to destroy the automobile industry," the statement continued. "It is our firm purpose to help it grow and prosper. *We are not out to play any political game for any political movement.* We are to build a trade union for the promotion of the economic welfare of the workers.

"We have no interest in training recruits in the techniques of revolution. We want to bolster Americanism. We have no intention of making a general nuisance of ourselves. Instead, we are determined to make our movement a constructive force. We are not going to promise the moon to our men. We do pledge them the concrete benefits of trade unionism.

"We have made a lot of mistakes. We will not repeat them!"

SHRINE DINNER CHATS ★ The Tragedy of Youth *By* Francis P. Yockey ★ An Answer to Father Coughlin's Critics *By* Ben Marcin

SHRINE DINNER CHATS

From the Rectory

A True Story — And How True!

A GROUP of tourists, conducted by a well-mannered, alert guide, was inspecting an insane institution.

Eventually the visitors were escorted towards a large enclosure which was separated from them by a high iron fence. Inside the enclosure some 30 or 40 unfortunate insane persons of the dangerous type were taking physical exercise. Only one guard was stationed with them, and he was armed only with a small night stick.

A spokesman for the visitors asked their guide:

"Isn't that guard inside risking his life? If those maniacs gang up against him, they will certainly overpower him."

And, the guide answering said: "Mister, *if they had enough brains to gang up against the guard, they wouldn't be in there!*"

Having heard the story, there was the temptation to apply it to the American people who have been exploited for so long by politicians, financialists and others. If they had enough brains to organize against their exploiters, the conditions extant in America today would not exist.

It all boils down to the problem of organization. And organization, in turn, all boils down to the problem of unity and leadership.

Unity and leadership boil down to the problem—well, let's forget about it and be good patient little children as we continue to take our daily dozen inside the iron bars.

Perhaps, that's what we deserve.

* * *

A Try That Failed

AS AN AFTERMATH of the recent neutrality fight, the Hearst newspapers—which have consistently opposed the administration's anti-neutrality legislation — reproduced an interesting exchange of telegrams between Congressman Sol Bloom, father of the Bloom "neutrality" bill, and Mr. William Randolph Hearst, the publisher. In case you didn't see it, we thought you might like to share our dinner table chuckle.

From Mr. Bloom in Washington, D. C., to Mr. Hearst at San Simeon went a message which read in part as follows:

"Dear Chief:

"You have known me for more than 50 years and as you remember, when we sat in your home on Riverside drive, you wrote that beautiful letter about me to Arthur Brisbane which was instrumental more than anything else in my election to Congress for the first time.

"And I want you to take my word for it that my neutrality bill, *H.J.Res. 306*, which goes back to strict neutrality, is the only way we can keep this country out of war, and I now want the help of the Hearst newspapers," etc., etc.

From Mr. Hearst at San Simeon to Mr. Bloom on "the Hill" went this reply:

"Dear Chief:

"You know that I am a sincere friend of yours and have always, heretofore, supported you but I cannot in this instance; and actually, Sol., not only for the sake of peace and unity of our common country but for your own fine record and high fame, I hope that the bill does not pass. You are an admirer of George Washington. Stick to his principles and keep free from foreign entanglements and disastrous internal dissensions.

"WILLIAM RANDOLPH HEARST."

* * *

The Case Against Working Wives

IN HER INTERVIEW in last week's SOCIAL JUSTICE, Miss Florence Birmingham of Massachusetts related her unsuccessful fight to oust from the state payroll working wives whose husbands hold positions adequate to support them, and so make way for deserving unemployed single persons.

In this Miss Birmingham enunciates one of the principles of social justice and one of the teachings of *"Quadragesimo Anno."* In a majority of cases these working wives ignore the primary purpose of marriage in their eager quest for more dollars and the few additional luxuries these dollars will provide. This lust for more and more money gradually shoves into the background the natural desire for children and domesticity. [Mind you, in no case are we discussing the working wives of unemployed husbands, or wives of underpaid working men.]

Disintegration of the family, background of a Christian civilization, results from the practices advocated by the Labor Department, Miss Birmingham maintains.

Fortunately, a great majority of the population also recognizes this fact. In a poll by the Institute of Public Opinion 89 per cent of the persons questioned voiced opposition to husband and wife both working for the Government. Almost as many, 78 per cent, disproved of a woman working in business or industry providing her husband is capable of supporting her. These majorities express the sound American, and Christian, spirit. Thus the eminent feminists who defend the right of these married women to work find themselves lining up not only against Christian principles, but against the will of unselfish Americans.

One wonders how much significance there is in the fact that the Communist Party also favors working wives. The Reds' organization in Massachusetts, Miss Birmingham says, went on record against the proposed legislation.

That does not indicate, of course, that these ladies eminent in public life are Communists. It only indicates that *they think like the Communists on this question of married women, whose husbands earn adequate family salaries, continuing to work while single women and men with families to support (12-million of them) walk the streets in search of jobs.*

Hello Folks:

Here's y'r wanderin' Circulation Manager again—may I come in? I've got somethin' on my mind I'd like awful much to talk over with ya. Somethin' came to my 'tention this past week, 'n I don't know just what t'make of it. Maybe after I tell y'all about it, you'll be able to straighten me out.

T'begin with, I don't know whether t'be flattered 'r mad 'r insulted 'r what, I'm that mixed up. But, wait, I'll tell my story.

Th' other day I was lookin' through some newspaper clippins', just sorta cashul like, 'n I happened t'come across one that menshuned me 'n my kolum. Course, I was all excited, thinkin' someone thought I was 'portant enuf to give me so much space. But when I looked t'see what sortuv a paper it was 'n who publishes it, I got deflated again. It was justa little squirt of a paper called *Broun's Nutmeg*—put out by a Heywood Broun.

Well, up 'til now Heywood Broun was a big unknown t'me. But 'slong as he seemed to think he knew so much 'bout the Boss 'n me, too, I took it upon myself t'do a little sluthin' on my own—'n did I find things out 'bout Heywood! Oh, oh! It's gonna be hard f'r me t'remember that I'm s'posed t'be a nice puppy. All I gotta say is Heywood Broun better steer clear of me.

Y'know what he had the nerve t'say 'bout me? He said that I don't write my own kolum 'n that he wouldn't be a bit s'prised but what my Boss writes it for me. 'Magine that! 'N him so busy with important things that he doesn't know which way t'turn sometimes.

Not only that, but this awful person poked fun at me 'cause I told 'bout how I got a letter from a bicycle who worked so hard f'r SOCIAL JUSTICE that by the time night rolled 'round he was so exhausted he couldn't even enjoy the meal of wind his master gave him to bolster him up.

Now, I ask ya, why would ennybudy be so mean as to belittle that? P'rson'ly, I think such devotion to our cause is somethin' that should be praised, don't you? Well, Mr. Broun was so nasty as not only t'make fun of that, but t'say that not only the bicycle got meals of wind, but that all you folks got the same thing from my Boss every Sunday over the radio 'n in SOCIAL JUSTICE, too. I'm here t'tell you, that really made me boil. D'y' blame me?

Oh, yes, 'n he said other mean things, too. I guess that's what he's best at. 'N 'cause I don't want to get in the same class with him, I'll not be sayin' anything more 'bout him—I might forget my bringin' up. 'N after all, I do have my dignuty to think 'bout as Circulation Manager for SOCIAL JUSTICE 'n as companion to the best Boss in all the world. I'll leave Heywood to you. He's *your* bone to pick.

* * *

Pete Is Still Second Dog

Talkin' bout bones 'n fights 'n such, I'm havin' my share of 'em this week. Even my own brother's trying that stuff on me. I b'lieve it was last Thursday, Mary gave me a bone to chew on. As usual, I took it t'my corner in the yard 'n was havin' a swell time just chewin' 'n mindin' my own business, when that scamp of a Pete came up 'n grabbed it right away from me. Well, the tussle was on to the bitter end. That was our first real fight, but I just couldn't let him get away with that rough stuff—I had t'show him who was boss right then 'n there, or there wouldn't be any livin' with that pup. He's a natchral born fighter, he is, I can see that. Give him a few more months 'n I'm afraid I won't be able to hold my own with him so well. As I told y'before, he's taller'n I am now, but I've got the weight. That's how I saved my bone. (I think I'll sick Pete on Broun if he pulls any more mean cracks at me 'n my Boss.)

* * *

A Pretty Wedding

Well, folks, life hasn't been just one fight after th' other. much as this sounds like it.

I had the 'streme pleasure 'n privilege of seein' a mighty pretty weddin'. Yes, Daddy Schwartz' daughter, Jean, was married here at the Shrine 'n I must say in the time I've been here I haven't seen a prettier weddin' 'r a prettier bride. 'Course, it goes without sayin', all brides are beautiful on their weddin' day, but Jean is a 'specially pretty girl, 'r maybe I'm prejudiced, seein's how we're fellow staff members, 'r rather, ex-fellow staff members. Jean is retirin' from office work 'n is gonna stay home 'n learn how to cook 'n keep house. That should keep her busy 'n happy.

Weddin's 're s'posed to be happy affairs, but really, my heart just ached for Daddy Schwartz — he looked so sad. Y'd've thought he was losin' a daughter instead of gaining a son—'n a nice lookin' son, too. But I 'magine he'll pull through all right. Most fathers do.

* * *

Office Accident

We had a bit of other 'citement 'round our staff office, too—somethin' not quite as nice as a weddin'. One of our boys, Bucky O'Connor, the one who's gonna marry the most beautiful girl in the world—'member, I told you 'bout that—well, Bucky hasn't been eatin' right, bein' in love, 'n all, 'n I guess his stummick raised the dickens with him 'n over he fell one afternoon while he was sittin' at his desk tryin' to beat that deadline. Golly, he scared everybuddy almost to death, it happened so sudden. 'N there he was on the floor, bleedin' like a stuck

(Continued on Page 3)

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly. Copyrighted 1939 by The Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed, all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. Rev. Charles E. Coughlin, LL.D., Editorial Counsel; E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted, either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 4A August 21, 1939 No. 8

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON Date.....

Name .....

Address..... City.....

State..... Subscription rate, $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

HERE AND THERE

By
Dr. Edward Lodge Curran

Well, now it is up to Big Business! That is the attitude taken by friend and foe of the President's spending-lending bill which the House of Representatives recently refused to take up for consideration and for vote.

We agree with a recent editorial (Wednesday, August 9th) of the *World Telegram* which refuses to shed any editorial or saline tears over the alarming picture painted by the President in a press conference following the defeat of his bill. We do not believe that the country is going on the rocks—any more than it is already—by the refusal of Congress to drop a few billion dollars more of relief patronage into the lap of the brain-trusters.

Nor do we think that the chance of war in the world is increased by the refusal of Congress to remove the embargo on arms from the neutrality legislation, in order that the President might play international poker. Why the President must lose any holiday sleep over international events that are none of America's concern, is beyond the scope of any real American imagination.

Long before there is any serious droppage from the W.P.A. rolls Congress will have been normally once again called into session. No matter what happens in Europe or Asia or Africa, foreign warfare is no concern of either the President or the Congress both of whom are elected by American citizens to concentrate on American problems and to keep *us out of war.*

* * *

The President might have said that an unjust distribution of income in the United States was one of the causes of the economic depression. The ratio of income distribution in the United States has never been in accord with the principles of Social Justice. The ratio of income distribution has always operated in favor of the rich and to the detriment of the poor and the middle classes. Even in the heyday of the '20s too much income found its way into the pockets of the rich only to be frittered away in financial speculations. Too little in-

(Continued on Page 4)

Pal's Kolum

(Continued from Page 2)

pig, as they say, from a gash over his left eye. Well, they threw water on him 'n did everything they could think of (it's a wonder to me he survived, atall) 'n they rushed him to the hospital where the doctor stitched him up 'n where he had to stay for a day 'r so to rest. He's all right now, but doesn't look so pretty minus an eyebrow.

Well, eyebrow or no eyebrow, they shouldn't postpone the weddin'—poor Bucky needs a wife to look after him, I can see that!

* * *

Well, with all my bits of local gossip I've probably tired you out, but I just felt in a chatty mood 'n had to talk.

Oh, oh, I almost forgot to mention the fact that although you folks've done wonderful gettin' subscriptions the way you have all summer, we still need your help—we just can't get along without you. So keep up the good work.

Love — PAL

EXTRA! $50,000 Offer Says That Ben Marcin Is Not Boris Brasol

'Social Justice' No Party to Demonstrations

In last week's SOCIAL JUSTICE, (repeated again this week on the editorial page) we had occasion to disavow responsibility for demonstrations conducted in the name of SOCIAL JUSTICE.

Word now comes to us of plans of a so-called Christian organization for a mass procession on the streets of New York City. SOCIAL JUSTICE not only disavows any connection with this procession but disapproves such tactics which tend to invite disorder.

We ask friends of SOCIAL JUSTICE to hold themselves aloof from such inconsidered tactics and leadership, even though the leaders display banners advertising SOCIAL JUSTICE and carry pictures of Father Coughlin. There is no law against their doing that but, nevertheless, we protest the misuse of such insignia.

'Jewish Examiner' Charges Staff Writer Is Russian But Presents No Proofs

Both SOCIAL JUSTICE and Man Named Deny It; Other Jewish Papers Take Up and Spread Canard, but Nobody Claims the Money!

Our $50,000 offer to the *Jewish Examiner* still stands.

There have been no "takers."

The self-appointed "voice of American Jewry," in a blatantly headlined "exposure," declared that Ben Marcin, the SOCIAL JUSTICE writer, is *"Boris Brasol, a White Russian leader."*

The baseless libel, made out of whole cloth by the *Jewish Examiner,* is being taken up and reprinted by a wide section of the Jewish press and the professional whispering campaign which these publications maintain.

Immediately upon being apprized of the publication, SOCIAL JUSTICE authorized its radio commentator to refute the libel and post a $50,000 offer if the Jewish magazine could prove its statement. This offer was made at the conclusion of Father Coughlin's nation-wide broadcast last Sunday.

We stand by that offer. Namely, that $50,000 will be paid to any charity they designate, if the editors of the *Jewish Examiner* can offer legal proof that Ben Marcin is Boris Brasol.

According to the *Jewish Examiner's* own story, their reporter had a two hours' interview with Brasol in the course of which *he vehemently denied that he was Ben Marcin or that he ever knew Father Coughlin.* At the top of the very article in which they print this statement, the Jewish editors headline the "Exposure" of Ben Marcin!

This is the same type of editorial irresponsibility that in Detroit slandered Father Coughlin as a "sexual pervert." The same sort of editorial irresponsibility that continues to make charges of "anti-Semitism" against Father Coughlin and SOCIAL JUSTICE without offering a shred of proof. The sort of editorial conduct that is doing more *to create anti-Semitism in America* than all the factual exposures that SOCIAL JUSTICE has ever made!

As an evidence of how quickly and widely the *Examiner* libel was caught up and repeated, an "Anti-Nazi Bulletin" scattered about on the streets of New York by the "Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League to Champion Human Rights, Inc.," reprints this charge (without credit to the *Examiner*):

"The Ben Marcin who writes articles for Coughlin's SOCIAL JUSTICE, is none other than Boris Brasol, a White Russian who is a member of Vonsiatsky's Russian National party . . . Brasol is the man who assisted Henry Ford on the Dearborn Independent . . . At present he is head of the Russian National Library at 5 Columbus Circle . . . "

SOCIAL JUSTICE denies the libel; Mr. Brasol denies it; the *Jewish Examiner* offers no proof—SOCIAL JUSTICE offers $50,000 for that proof.

Is that plain enough?

SOCIAL JUSTICE Is Printed By Union Labor Craftsmen

Absence of the union label and publisher's imprint on the back cover of SOCIAL JUSTICE, since its publication in a rotogravure format, has been used by foes of this National Weekly to spread the canard that the paper is no longer printed by union workmen. Every process of its printing and distribution is handled by union workmen, furthermore SOCIAL JUSTICE is printed at the largest union plant in the country, as the following letters will attest:

CHICAGO TYPOGRAPHICAL UNION NO. 16
130 N. Wells Street, Suite 1519
Tel. State 2482

August 2, 1939

To Whom It May Concern:

Members of Chicago Typographical Union No. 16 are employed exclusively in the composing room of The Cuneo Press, Inc. of Chicago.

This company is recognized as one of the largest employers of union labor in the printing industry in the United States and it has employed our members ever since the founding of the company. In addition to employing members of our union, The Cuneo Press, Inc., likewise employs members from the following list of unions in conjunction with operating its business:

Chicago Electrotypers Union No. 3
Chicago Stereotypers Union No. 4
Chicago Printing Pressmen's Union No. 3
Franklin Union No. 4
Bookbinders and Paper Cutters Union of Chicago No. 8
Chicago Mailers' Union No. 2
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local No. 134
International Association of Machinists Progressive Lodge No. 126
Chicago Truck Drivers, Chauffeurs & Helpers Union of Chicago and Vicinity, Local No. 705

In addition to the Chicago plant, The Cuneo Press, Inc. operates subsidiary plants in the cities of Philadelphia and Milwaukee, and similarly as in Chicago, employ compositors from our union locals in these cities.

(Signed) Thomas Canty
President

TC/d

CHICAGO MAILERS' UNION NO. 2
Affiliated with International Typographical Union: Chicago Allied Printing Trades Council, Chicago, Illinois State and American Fed. of Labor
Offices: 608 S. Dearborn St., Rooms 532-533
Tel. Harr. 2560, Chicago, Illinois

July 31, 1939

To Whom It May Concern:

The Chicago Mailers' Union No. 2 has been employed by The Cuneo Press, Inc. of Chicago ever since it started in business. In fact our men were employed by its predecessor, The Cuneo Henneberry Company; this employment dates back upwards of 20 years.

The Cuneo Press, Inc is generally known as the largest employer of union printing trades in the United States and as such employs members of the following list of unions who work in their plant along with members of our union

Chicago Typographical Union No. 16
Chicago Electrotypers Union No. 3
Chicago Stereotypers Union No. 4
Chicago Printing Pressmen's Union No. 3
Franklin Union No. 4
Bookbinders' and Paper Cutters' Union of Chicago No. 8
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local No. 134
International Association of Machinists Progressive Lodge No. 126
Chicago Truck Drivers, Chauffeurs & Helpers Union o. Chicago and Vicinity, Local No. 705

Members of our Union handle the mailing and bundling of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine each week as it is being printed by The Cuneo Press, Inc.

Yours very truly,
(Signed) Andrew Giacola
President

'He Should Be Grateful'

PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT has strongly and petulantly expressed his dissatisfaction over the manner in which Congress dealt with him in its recent session. Why is not Mr. Roosevelt happy? asks the *Detroit Times.* The editorial goes on to enumerate the things for which the President ought, on the other hand, to be grateful:

"The Congress gave him more money than has ever been appropriated by any Congress in the history of the nation—more than fourteen thousand million dollars!

"It increased the public debt to more than $50-billion—much in excess of the legal debt limit of the country.

"It increased the number of parasitic officeholders, giving him a new whip-hold of political patronage for use in a national convention year.

"It did not reduce the extravagant expenditures of Government.

"It did not reduce taxes—in fact, it made an increase of taxation necessary.

"It did not repeal, or in any way modify, the oppressive Wagner Labor Relations Act.

"It did not restrain the unjust Labor Board.

"It did not deprive the President of any of his emergency powers.

"It did not interfere with his so-called 'good neighbor' policy of sacrificing American agricultural and industrial and labor interests for foreign applause.

"It did not in any way limit or restrain his free trade policies.

"It did not half tariff reductions.

"It did not even seek to preserve a fraction of America's historic policy of protection to American industry and American labor and the American farmer.

"It allowed the President's 'reciprocity' treaty with Communist Russia to function.

"It continued every power and policy permitted the President by previous Congresses.

"It continued to oppress business.

"It continued the New Deal in its entirety.

"It continued the depression.

"It did not put us into war, but it allowed the President the powers to put us into war; and he probably will put us into war in spite of the utter lack of necessity or reason for war and the utter absence of any threat to our security.

"What else does the President want, except a nomination for a third term as President and a perpetual presidency?"

Croatians' Home Rule Demands in Jugoslavia May Get Hitler's Aid

While World Is Watching Danzig, International Bankers Push Pawns on Balkan Chessboard

THE NEWS:

BLED, JUGOSLAVIA—A plan to federalize the kingdom of Jugoslavia, to satisfy Croatian demands for home rule, has been taken under consideration by the Senior Regent, Prince Paul. All three peoples which combined at Versailles to form Jugoslavia — Serbs, Croats, and Slovenes—are awaiting the verdict. Dr. Vladko Machek, the Croatian leader, has threatened to secede and establish an independent country unless his people were given a proper share in the government.

THE BACKGROUND:

The murder of Stephen Raditch, 11 years ago in the Jugoslav parliament, left 5-million Croats without a leader. The void has been filled by the 60-year-old, scholarly Dr. Machek, who has endeared himself to the people in their fight for autonomy.

Dr. Machek claims that the Serbians, many of whom are Jews, have seized all the important government positions and that the Croats, who are predominately Catholic, have been the victims of a relentless persecution. Because the present Jugoslavian government has made no real attempts to remedy the situation, Dr. Machek is now ready to lead his people in an open revolt, even, he says, if it means a general war.

Croats Threaten to Call in Germany

Warning the Jugoslavian rulers that the Croats are ready to call upon Germany for aid in the dispute, Dr. Machek said: "Someone must make order in Jugoslavia. If Belgrade cannot make order, Germany can. There is an analogy between our present situation and that of Czecho-Slovakia. The same elements are present in both states."

The whole picture dates back to the endless tragedies that have been plaguing the world since Versailles was signed with the predominant motives being revenge and power instead of justice and charity.

It is not unlikely that the same situation may yet ensue that eclipsed Czecho-Slovakia. But while there are existing injustices that cannot be denied and which should have been rectified since 1919, the situation today indicates that the same group that devised Versailles are now following up their handwork with satanic cleverness.

Yesterday, "they" knew that Slovak would revolt against Czech for injustices that neither was responsible for. Today, the fire is being built between Croatian and Serbian. Tomorrow, the backfire will be fanned between Hungary and Roumania over the Transylvania question, with the same tactics that permitted Germany to acquire Sudetenland and Bohemia without the firing of a shot.

Prussian Drive Presses to East

Each of these carefully planned moves permits the Nazi Juggernaut to inch its way eastward, eastward to the oil fields of the Western Carpathians of Russia and of Irak, and on to the wheat fields of Roumania and the Black Sea. Once Prussia succeeds in conquering Roumania and controlling the Black Sea shores, she would be in an admirable position to unite the diverse nationalities of the Caucasus against Russian domination.

Already a movement is in progress for the amalgamation of these small states into a confederation of the Caucasian nations under the Nazi standard. In other words, the Teutonic aims will be accomplished, but the battle will be fought by the Slav Federation!

So while the propagandists concentrate on Danzig, the international banking groups play their game of chess and make their moves far away from the glare of scare headlines.

FATHER COUGHLIN INVADES CINCINNATI

Mass Meeting of Christian-Americans — Music Hall, Friday, August 25

Cincinnati and district Social Justice groups will assemble on the evening of Friday, August 25, in one of the most significant mass gatherings ever held in that city.

The place is the Music Hall, Elm and 14th Streets, and doors will open at 7:00 o'clock.

At the invitation of Cincinnati citizens, Father Coughlin will address the meeting by telephone and public address system for 40 minutes.

Admission by ticket only. Tickets are available from distributors of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine or from Mr. Fred A. Geier, 2535 Dennis Street. Write Mr. Geier or telephone him at Avon 2834 or Parkway 2030.

Chairman, Mr. Walter Schlichten. Prominent citizens of Cincinnati will speak.

Here and There

(Continued from Page 3)

come found its way into the pockets of the poor.

When consumption of the products of the earth and of industry is high, prosperity is at hand. When consumption falls off, the time of depression is near. In times of falling consumption the wealthy do not pour out their excess income into new business or into the expansion of old businesses. Therefore the wheels of business slow down, stop, rust and enter the ominous seven years of famine.

The President might have pointed out that former Government spending-lending programs were an attempt to increase the income of the classes dispossessed by the depression, in order to increase the consumption of goods and thereby motivate the expansion of business from the top. He might have admitted the failure of such previous programs. He might then have demanded that Big Business face its present responsibilities by putting every possible man back to work, even if the salaries of the executives of Big Business have to be reduced, even if all bonuses must be omitted, even if bondholders and stockholders must wait a year for any future returns or dividends, even if Big Business is only able to pay its overhead charges while taking care of the millions of unemployed Americans.

* * *

Believers in Social Justice demand that Big Business face its responsibilities. The American citizen does not want a dole, even if the dole be baptized with the euphonious name of W.P.A. He wants a chance to work. And he wants, what he should get, an annual living wage for himself and his family.

The National Association of Manufacturers should take note of this. Big Business should expand—not in the older sense of the word, but in a humanitarian sense. Big Business should expand by employing every possible unemployed American and by paying him a living annual wage. Let the idea of profit be secondary. Profits will follow upon justice to labor. The first lien upon modern Big Business operations is a living annual wage for all employed.

NATIONAL DAY

at the

Shrine of the Little Flower

SUNDAY, SEPT. 3

Labor Day Week-End Rail-Lake Cruise

$22.75

FOR NEW YORK, BROOKLYN, LONG ISLAND, NEW JERSEY, CONNECTICUT AND SURROUNDING TERRITORY

An opportunity for all devotees to combine their pilgrimage with a delightful week-end vacation, including a visit to Niagara Falls, and a restful ride across beautiful Lake Erie.

For Descriptive Folder and Reservations

Write, Phone or Wire
BRYAN B. O'ROURKE
1807 Westchester Ave., Bronx, N. Y.
Westchester 7-1731, 7-1371

ERIE RAILROAD CO.
12 West 51st Street
Rockefeller Center, New York City
Circle 5-7222

Boosts Circulation

I have been in the habit of purchasing one SOCIAL JUSTICE every Sunday at our church door in this city. Now that Elliott Roosevelt has entered the lists against Father Coughlin, I shall buy two copies every Sunday.

If the several hundreds of thousands of admirers of Father Coughlin would do likewise, it would be a most effective answer to Mr. Roosevelt.

—Martin Flanagan

Lakeworth, Fla.

NATIONAL DAY

at the

Shrine of the Little Flower

Royal Oak

for

PITTSBURGH AND SURROUNDING TERRITORY

Tour to the Shrine of the Little Flower, Royal Oak, Mich., including a Great Lakes Cruise — All-Expense from Pittsburgh.

Low Fare $13.75 Round Trip

Penna. R. R. to Cleveland
D. & C. Steamer to Detroit, Mich.

Every necessary expense included —bus direct to the Shrine, 3 meals, berth in stateroom, and a side-trip to Windsor, Ontario, Canada.

Reservations Are Absolutely Limited.
Apply NOW to

A. J. Staud, 53 Amanda St., Phone Hemlock 8307
Mrs. Jos. Stepanovich, 2903 Roosevelt Rd., Phone Millvale 2126-R
Mrs. Marie Guckert, 1721 Brighton Place, Phone Fairfax 1217
L. P. Welte, 200 Lorenz Ave., Phone Walnut 4001
John Cleary, 710 Bellefonte Street, Phone Mayflower 0960
F. M. Collins, 7154 Monticello St., Phone Penhurst 2457
Mrs. Lou Scott, 219 Kathleen St., Phone Everglade 5366
J. E. O'Connor, 154 Odette St., Phone Carrick 1167-M

PENNSYLVANIA, NEW JERSEY, DELAWARE and MARYLAND

Official Pilgrimage

to

The Shrine of the Little Flower

September 2nd, 3rd, 4th

INCLUDING

Sightseeing Trip of the City of Detroit

Low Fare $19.50
$33.45 Round Trip

A daylight ride via the Reading-Lehigh Valley Route to Buffalo, traversing some of the most scenic sections east of the Rockies,

thence via

STEAMER—for a delightful cruise by night across Lake Erie.

Includes taxi transfers between train terminal and steamer pier at Buffalo, and motor coach transfers between steamer pier at Detroit and the Shrine of the Little Flower.

For Reservations on This
OFFICIAL PILGRIMAGE
apply to
FLOYD M. NELLETT
1242 E. Oxford St.
Philadelphia, Pa.

or to

THE LEHIGH VALLEY RAILROAD CO.
1506 Girard Trust Co. Bldg.
Philadelphia, Pa.
RITTENHOUSE 2815
or to any Reading Co. agent

$5.00 deposit will hold your reservation. Don't put it off. Accommodations are limited and this is the ONLY OFFICIAL TOUR from this section.

AN AMERICAN UNIONIST RESENTS 'ALIEN RULE'

SOCIAL JUSTICE is in receipt of the following letter from a member of the International Ladies Garment Workers Union, which expresses more than it says. Few workers have the courage to be so critical of dictatorial union leadership, knowing the sort of reprisal that awaits such a show of independence. The letter, as a consequence, speaks for many others who feel as she does without the temerity to speak out.

We quote from our correspondent's letter:

"Being American born and a Christian I resent the fact that I was compelled to contribute to the 'refugee fund.' It wasn't a matter of whether you wanted to or not, you just had to—or else! A large group of us objected on the grounds that when we joined the union we signed no applications or papers that warrants or gives them the right to force us by compulsion to contribute to something (wholly outside the purposes of the union) which we did not believe in, or might not want to aid in any manner or form.

"We told the officials of the union that we didn't see why we had to aid people abroad. I was accused of being 'anti-Semitic' and a 'Fascist.' I replied: 'Why not aid the U. S. refugees?' I was asked what I meant by such a ridiculous remark. I explained that I meant the unemployed Americans throughout the United States. The answer I received was that *'a real American is too smart to be out of a job!'*

"I pointed out that the firm I work for employs 20 refugees who were sent up by the union, which neglects to send older union members who are for the most part American citizens. I was told *why need I worry as long as I had a job!* That is their 'American way' and their 'democracy.'

"This state of affairs goes to prove beyond the slightest doubt why these unprincipled agitators are getting 'persecuted' in Europe. They are the first to start the ball rolling and when they are caught in their own avalanche they cry for mercy. Their *greed for money and political power is going to crush them here eventually as it has already done in central Europe.* Thousands of us union members abhor paying into a fund of this sort, but, as yet, we have nowhere to turn.

"I hope that in the near future Christian Americans will try to organize a labor union for real Americans. Long enough have we been exploited and enslaved by these 'legitimate vultures' who aspire to make martyrs out of themselves.

"They raised close to $½-million in New York state alone. Mr. David Dubinsky, being a virtual dictator among his own people, always has as his theme in every speech the word 'democracy.' What a colossal mockery for us to swallow, *as he is not only president of the union, but also secretary and treasurer*—a sort of duplicate Stalin in his own little International Ladies Garment Workers Union.

"How can they have the audacity to preach against oppression and racial hatred when right here in supposedly free America we are being made to jump through their hoops!"

* * *

Some Sidelights on the Browder Tradition

EARL BROWDER, head man of the Communist Party in the United States, claims to stem from a good old American family. In contrast with the "aliens" and "foreigners" who clutter up the ranks of the party, Mr. Browder always makes much of the fact that he is a solid native of Kansas.

He even points up his addresses with American patriotic references which, for the most part, leave his polyglot audiences cold. He never fails to get a wave of hearty guffaws by the sally that if he were to "come out for the Ten Commandments, the anti-Communists would all leave the churches." In a recent Detroit meeting somebody sent up the question: "Well, *are* you for the Ten Commandments?" He answered: "Confidentially, I am; but don't tell Father Coughlin!"

In an article in the *Saturday Evening Post* signed by W. G. Krivitsky, a former Communist whose real name is reputed to be "Samuel Ginsberg," and who recently was removed as head of the Soviet spy machine in western Europe, it was revealed that Browder's sister and his first wife are both Red secret agents who have been operating in Europe as members of Josef Stalin's OGPU.

A few years ago, Col. Ginsberg-Krivitsky said, he had assigned Kitty Harris, Browder's first mate, to do a particularly delicate job of Red espionage in Switzerland, after she had been recommended to him by Soviet authorities in Moscow. As for Browder's sister, the ex-Red spy chief said, she has been working for the Soviet secret service in Europe with a false American passport in the name of Gene Montgomery. He also said that while serving under Ginsberg-Krivitsky in France, she "took part in the kidnaping of one enemy of the Soviet Union and the murder of another."

Browder's sister, whose real name is Margaret Browder, graduated from a Moscow institution as a radio operator and in 1936-37 was assigned to supervise the erection of a secret radio station in central Europe. She pretended to be an American student and always told her superiors that the best identification for Soviet agents was an American passport, "because European police rarely trouble Americans."

So there we have a brief glimpse of those good old American Browders! Earl Browder, chief Communist hatchetman for Joe Stalin in the United States; his sister and an ex-wife, both paid Soviet spies in Europe!

* * *

There Is No Civil War Here

"EIGHT HUNDRED MEN formed ranks and stormed the east gate. There was a blast of gunfire. The defenders, vastly outnumbered, fell back and blew up a bridge to cover their retreat. As the attackers swept forward seven men fell wounded, one with a bullet through his chest. There was another pitched battle and then quiet. Today, the Governor called out two companies of infantry and a detachment of tank troops . . ."

Where did this happen? In Spain? In China? In Czecho-Slovakia? No, it happened in Colorado!

The miniature war described in the above newspaper dispatch began when strikers attacked a sheriff and his deputies who were trying to reopen work on a dam project. This was not "civil war," or an "invasion," but just another strike; just another example of Americans fighting Americans; another example of what the future holds for us unless the people of this country unite to wipe out the menace of class warfare, by ending unemployment and *guaranteeing an annual living wage for every American family.*

* * *

In Saginaw, Michigan, some gangsters who had slipped into the ranks of the C.I.O. threw a dynamite bomb into the home of Rudolph Reese, a worker in the General Motors steering gear plant. Mr. Reese grabbed the two sticks of dynamite to which a lighted fuse was tied and doused them in a pail of water before they could explode. Had he not acted promptly he and his little family would have been blown to bits.

Similar attacks by gangster racketeers on American laboring men have become so commonplace that, when they fail, they are not even news. Many workers have not been as fortunate as Mr. Reese; they have been maimed, mutilated or murdered by Red strike chiefs out to terrorize Americans into taking their orders.

Mr. Reese had been marked for death *because he had gone back to work during a strike of 50 skilled workers at the General Motors plant.* The Reds sent to murder him did not wait to get him alone but aimed their revenge at his home by trying to massacre Mr. Reese, his wife and his three daughters.

How much longer will Americans stand for this little gang of Reds with their beatings and their bombings and their terrorizing of working men in their homes? Not many months, we are certain. When America wakes up and starts taking these Communists in hand, the people around Saginaw are going to remember this brutal assault on the home of Mr. Reese. Reds in that neighborhood will receive little mercy.

And that day is not as far in the future as the Communists like to think. Americans are slow to act, but when they finally start they do not stop until the job is done.

Communists may set up a giant spy organization in the United States with Moscow money; they may seek to overthrow this Government by force and terror; they may worm their way in great numbers into high Government office and seek to involve America in another world war. And they may do all these things, apparently, without fear of retaliation. But can they continue going into the homes of American workingmen attempting to murder their wives and children—*simply because the father holds another opinion than theirs*—and expect the American people to continue supine? We think not.

Communism in the United States is already doomed!

Christian America is already on the march. Many do not know it yet.

* * *

'Time' Slinks On

Time, the weekly smear magazine, which has attained a large circulation in this country by rewriting news dispatches in reverse English and adding a few tidbits of slander, has gone on to overstep the bounds of debency. In its July 24th issue the Red magazine printed a so-called biography of Edda Mussolini, in which it inferred that the eldest daughter of Italy's Duce was the "illegitimate offspring of a Russian woman Socialist." No proof whatever was offered to support this slander except the fact that Edda's vivacious personality "made it doubtful if she could be the child of Mussolini's bourgeois wife, Donna Rachele."

It was to be expected that such a story would cause *Time* to be banned in Italy, as it promptly was—if not from the United States mails for obscenity. But now, however, comes word that the British censors were the first to act upon it. *Time's* distributors in Britain were informed that the powerful British Wholesale News Agents Federation would discontinue to handle the American periodical after July.

The banning of *Time* magazine in Great Britain promises to be a great forward step in the battle of Christians for world peace. Propagandist news reporting, based on alarmist rumor instead of fact, is one of the main causes of war. If there was ever a journal guilty of this failing, it is Red *Time*. During the recent European crises, *Time* distinguished itself week after week by purposely raising war fears to lurid dispatches that rarely failed to predict armed conflict before the week-end.

It is magazines like *Time*, together with certain Leftist newspapers, that are directly responsible for the war fears that are once more gripping Americans. Europeans in the countries involved are far less concerned over the "threat of imminent conflict" than are American magazine and newspaper readers. Instead of functioning as truly national organs, too many American publications *are more concerned about what may happen in Europe than what is actually happening in the United States.*

Their sensationalism may boost circulation; but they will be left far behind in any appraisal of their service to public welfare in this or any other country.

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Rev. Chas. E. Coughlin, LL.D.
President, Social Justice Publishing Co. and Editorial Counsel

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.
Just living annual wage.
Nationalization of important public resources.
Private ownership of all other property.
Control of private property for public good.
Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.
Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.
Cost of living maintained on an even keel.
Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.
Labor's right to organize.
Recall of non-productive bonds.
Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.
Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.
Simplification of Government and lower taxes.
Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.
Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

Organizations under various names from time to time project public demonstrations under the name and pretended sponsorship of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine. The public must be apprized of the fact that SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine is published by a Michigan corporation, and that as such it has never, at any time, authorized any persons or organizations to conduct demonstrations in any cause whatsoever. The management of the magazine disclaims and disavows any and all responsibility for any act or demonstration on the part of individuals or organizations posing as being under the direction of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine.

'Unpayable Debts'

FATHER COUGHLIN'S recent discourse entitled "Unpayable Debts" (Sunday, August 6), was more important than many realize.

It has been our contention for years that the New Deal was nothing more than an international bankers' political party which had succeeded in deceiving the so-called liberals, the working men and the farmers.

It is no longer necessary to make this contention. The proof is at hand to warrant this absolute conclusion.

Thus from figures, facts, tables and statistics compiled carefully by the New Deal Government itself and now published, it is definitely revealed that more than 90 per cent of the money borrowed by the New Deal Government from the Federal Reserve bankers to help the farmers was not used for the farmers but was used to pay off the commercial banks for the loans which they had formerly extended to farmers between the years 1920 and 1929. In other words, the New Deal has bailed out the banks but has not bailed out the farmers! In bailing out the bankers, the New Deal has done so at a loss to the taxpayers in general, and *has kept the same old debts on the backs of the farmers.* In some cases when farmers were unable to pay the extended debts, their farms were confiscated but in all cases the banks got theirs.

The New Deal will go down in history as the most hypocritical form of a political party that ever disgraced the annals of civilization. Its farm relief bills were proved to be nothing more than bankers' relief bills. Its gold nationalization act proved to be a gold confiscation act. Its neutrality bill proved to be a war bill. So much legislation has paraded hypocritically under false names that one does not know where to place his trust in any legislation that the New Deal has originated.

The disclosures made by Father Coughlin are more important than you realize. Please write to him this week, for a copy of that speech (August 6th), together with the 15 Government tables upon which the conclusions in the speech were established.

Motion Picture 'Juarez' Overdone Propaganda

THE LEFTIST propagandists, cocksure in their undisputed control of radio and cinema, always overdo it. They seem to have been foolish again, in the case of the superfilm "*Juarez*," which is certainly coming in for a "panning" from the moralists on every side.

Historians, too, especially our Mexican neighbors, are resentful of the liberties which Red Hollywood has taken with facts to make a box-office picture.

"With Benito Juarez and Sam Houston giving off lectures about democracy and dictatorship in the movies, the syndicated heavy thinkers are facing stiff competition," cynically observes John Chapman, writing for the *Chicago Tribune-New York News* syndicate.

"The theatrical and movie producers—non-Christians almost to a man—are preparing to propagandize our children with pro-revolutionary and anti-Christian notions," writes David Gordon, the erudite reviewer for the *Catholic Virginian*. "These notions will be elegant scenically and very arty theatrically—even as is '*Juarez*'—but that only makes their poison more dangerous.

"When you present such horrid haters of Christ as Emile Zola and Benito Juarez to our children as heroes, you have laid the cornerstone of lapsed Christianity. In this region, ideas are more dangerous than bombshells!"

The hero of the picture, says Mr. Gordon, is the bloodthirsty atheist, Benito Juarez, "who hated priests with the hatred of an animalized madman and was the first to accomplish effectively the persecution of the Church in Mexico. Juarez was the inspirer and precursor of the atheist bandits now exploiting Mexico in the name of 'democracy'."

The director of this picture is the same Mr. Dieterle who, last year, took a trip to Soviet Russia and released a newspaper interview to the effect that the Soviets were "building the most magnificent civilization on earth." Dieterle, a non-Christian, is an avowed Marxist. The leading man is Paul Muni, another avowed radical. It was Muni, who last year, created the apotheosis of another vicious anti-Catholic, the degenerate sex-novelist, Emile Zola, for the films.

John Garfield (Julius Garfinkel) is another radical actor, fresh from the purlieus of the Red drammer of Broadway, who is featured in the picture.

Completely aside from the moralities are the film's historical inaccuracies, almost blatant enough to create an international incident, were not the present-day Mexico herself so deeply involved by Red infiltration. Dr. Alberto Maria Carreno, formerly secretary to Archbishop Diaz of Mexico and a recognized authority on Mexican history, declares of the film, "*Juarez*":

"Seldom will it be possible to present a similar abominable misrepresentation of history. It is difficult to find a larger number of historic falsities. The responsibility of the Mexican consul at Los Angeles is no less than the ridicule that will befall him in accepting as an historical play the podge that the company, (*Warner Brothers*) with the collaboration of our government, is serving to the Mexican people."

Founders Were Isolationists

THE ACCOMPLISHED and versatile founder of the *Saturday Evening Post*, together with his colleagues in the Continental Congress when they fashioned this Republic, was an isolationist. America for Americans is our traditional policy, one to which we are obliged by geography to adhere, however much our sympathies may be entangled in foreign alliances.

An independent America, concerned first with the solution of its domestic problems is understandable from one end of the country to the other, however widely diversified our peoples may happen to be in their origins. But any other policy of secret international intrigue will never be comprehensible to Americans. Politicians who undertake it will reap the penalty of misunderstood motives if nothing worse.

The spirit of battling Ben Franklin must have been warmed and delighted by the vigorous stand for traditional Americanism which the Saturday evening newspaper that he founded in 1728 is continuing to show. Commenting upon the disclosures of its observer Mr. Demaree Bess, some weeks ago, the *Saturday Evening Post* commented editorially:

"Never was a stranger thing than that the American people should be inviting themselves to another world war before it happens . . . The American character is inhabited by a strong crusader spirit. Many voices, for different reasons, have been calling to it, and it responds.

"When the President first called to it we were not thinking of war, talking of war. Our thoughts were inturned upon our own economic and social maladies. The New Deal was going badly. That was in October, 1937.

"Suddenly, out of the blue, in a speech at Chicago, the President proposed that we should have to help quarantine the aggressor nations of the world. First he borrowed the words to make a terrifying picture of what that day would be like when the aggressors went utterly mad . . . We dwell upon this speech because it is the key to much that has happened since. Nobody knew we were going to cast aside the priceless advantage of mere isolation. Nobody knew we were going to forsake the traditional policy of mere neutrality . . . Ever since the quarantine speech, the Government of the United States has been slapping dictators in the face . . .

"We seem to have forgotten *that the President does not make the foreign policy of the United States.* He has not that Constitutional power. He can negotiate treaties, but he cannot make them; a treaty is not made until the Senate confirms it. He cannot declare war. Only the Congress can do that.

"Nevertheless, he can, if he is so minded, provoke a war.

"He can create situations and entanglements such as to make war inevitable.

"He can, as we have seen, condition the national mind to thoughts of war.

"The Constitution cannot save us from a President who turns world hero on our hands. It left that to the people."

World's Fare

"ARMAMENTS do not cause war. They prevent them. It would be as sensible to argue that umbrellas cause rain." The speaker is Alfred Duff-Cooper, former first Lord of the Admiralty, quoted in the *Herald-Tribune*. Didn't it occur to Mr. Duff-Cooper that we can have rain *without* umbrellas; but no war without armaments?

* * *

Harry Bridges—certainly an undesirable alien, if not proven to be a card-carrying Red—testifies to his hatred for all employers. It's his ideas, not his lodge dues, that are the peril to America.

* * *

Mr. Bridges wants a correction; he would not purge all Legionnaires from the maritime union, just the patriotic *ones. Reds, it seems, cannot endure counter-espionage.*

* * *

The good name of the American Legion on the West Coast can safely be left to the boys who once before demonstrated their devotion to this country.

* * *

Mrs. Roosevelt's criticism refers to a "do-nothing Congress." It would seem to have been anything but that; the Congress just closed did plenty to the Roosevelts!

* * *

Mr. Heywood Broun's attack on Pal's column is a faux pas. It established Mr. Broun as the sort of a man who does not like dogs.

* * *

In picking on Pal, furthermore, Broun counters public opinion, a failing that cost him his nice New York job on a well-paying newspaper. Whereupon, they say, he organized the Newspaper Guild as an organ of personal revenge.

* * *

We do not exactly understand it ourselves, but a poll of readers' letters of comments puts Pal first of all the features in SOCIAL JUSTICE; the "Letter Page" is second; and the articles by Congressmen is third. Now don't disagree with us; it isn't our vote—it's yours.

* * *

Representative Rich of Pennsylvania made the suggestion recently in the House, that it would be wise if Secretary Morgenthau *would use red ink in publishing the daily Treasury statement.* Publishing in black ink, it seems, only fools the people.

* * *

Congress recently accepted a 12-acre tract on the Roosevelt family estate at Hyde Park to house the Franklin D. Roosevelt Library. Now, 11,709 employes of the Agricultural Department in the District of Columbia have been solicited for contributions to get the library under way!

* * *

Word from Istanbul states that Turkey has forbidden college girls to have their hair waved, use make-up, wear jewelry or silk stockings, or "otherwise look like Hollywood movie stars." We take it that goes for the reduction diet, too.

* * *

"England must look tough, act tough, and be tough!" The speaker was dapper Anthony Eden, unemployed statesman, who recently joined the territorial army as a captain and was permitted to go home on sick leave after two days' service. There's nothing like being tough, Tony!

* * *

Battling Harry Sinclair, the "lone wolf" of the oil game, has defied the monopolists (Standard, Gulf and Shell) and reduced the price of oil 20 cents a barrel. Since Sinclair's trade is chiefly domestic, we hope he beats the big fellows and passes the saving on to Mr. and Mrs. Motorist.

* * *

President Roosevelt threatens to bolt the party that brought him to office, if the Democrats dare to go conservative. The President has no love for Adolf Hitler, but he appears to have a deep and abiding admiration for the dramatic methods used by the "cast-iron" chancellor.

The Tragedy of Youth

Their Generation, Now Unemployed, Must Fight the War Then Become Slaves in Red State That Follows

By FRANCIS P. YOCKEY

Observations about the pitfalls of youth, by oldsters like Gov. Dickinson of Michigan, do not always stress the degree to which youth is the *deliberate victim of planned demoralization*, nor do they have the viewpoint of youth. Youngsters, on the other hand, do not see with the wisdom of their elders. In Mr. Yockey, however, we have both the viewpoint of youth and, what's more, the vision to perceive how his generation is made the scapegoat.

—THE EDITOR.

No SECTION of the American populace has been more completely deceived by the forces interested in keeping the truth from the people than America's youth. Youth stands to suffer most from the present regime of America's enemies in control of America. Therefore, *it is from youth that the Leftist dictatorship might some day have the most to fear.*

The alien-minded minority in control of the cinema, the radio, and the newspaper and magazine press has poured out a constant stream of propaganda with the intent of gaining complete spiritual power over the minds of young Americans emerging into maturity. With what success the attempt has met everyone knows who has talked on their own level to representative American youths from the ages of 19 to 27. One and all their world-views have been cut out for them in New York, Hollywood, and Washington.

Appalling numbers of youth have been led into a cynical ultra-sophisticated attitude which regards drinking as a badge of social aptitude, which makes a fetish of sport and professes eroticism as a way of life. A perverted and insane pictorial art, lewd exhibitionistic dancing and jungle music form the spiritual norm of this sector of America's youth.

Books, Magazines Carry Propaganda

For those serious-minded youths, who are genuinely interested in the tremendous problems now facing us, another insidious attack has been devised. Books have been written, plays have been staged, and an unending train of lecturers have mounted the platform — all to convey to these thinking youths the same message of class war and international hatred.

Magazines have been founded for none other than propaganda purposes—*vide Life, Look, Click, Esquire, Ken, Coronet*—and have been made up in such a way as to prove attractive to the young readers.

The result of this campaign to destroy Christian Americanism among the youth is that *every periodical, 95 per cent of the books, and all the lecturers are Leftist.* Leftist ideas are a part of the very atmosphere which American youth breathes. The young person whose reasoning powers have come to full development within the past seven years has never even come in contact with a conservative, Christian view of life. His professors are in the main Leftists, those who are not are afraid to speak out for fear of their jobs. Most of the parents do not realize the spiritual regimentation of their children because they themselves have been indoctrinated along with them. Those parents who do think otherwise are considered "old-fashioned," and proponents of the "horse-and-buggy days" by the preachers of Roosevelt Leftism.

Youth Victims of Red Demoralization

The tragedy of this conscription of American youth under the banners of atheism, class-war, and social degeneration is just this: *that the continuance of the economic and spiritual distress of the youth is an integral part of the revolutionary program of the same Communist forces which have seduced and indoctrinated them.*

According to Communist leaders, the revolutionary struggle in the United States is in the stage of the "Popular Front," with Mr. Roosevelt as the leader *pro tempore*. The aim of a "Popular Front" government can best be set forth in the words of Maurice Thorez, French Communist leader:

"It will be a government which will give the working-class and the Communist Party all possibilities for agitation, propaganda, organization and action, a government which will make it possible to prepare for the complete seizure of power by the working-class (i.e., by their self-chosen leaders), in brief, *a government armed which will be a prelude for the armed insurrection for the dictatorship of the proletariat.* . . . For the Communists, the Popular Front is not a tactic of expediency. Still less is it an election move. It is an element of their fundamental policy, and application of the principles of Marx and Lenin . . . " (*From his speech at Villeurbanne, January, 1936.*)

Prosperity Fatal to Communist Hopes

Now it is easy to see that this program, however successful to date in America, cannot be fulfilled if our nation is prosperous and if the population is engaged in productive, decently paid labor. Both the "Popular Front" which we now endure and its successor, *the blood-bath Communist dictatorship,* are based on national conditions of widespread economic distress and unemployment such as we now have.

The tactic that is being employed to bring about the necessary crisis for the "complete seizure of power" is that of producing a financial collapse by profligate and insensate government expenditures on everything and anything. It does not matter whether the projects are needed or not, all that matters is that the money gets spent, and spent in such a way as to make the greatest number possible dependent on the Government, thus to break their spirits and render them fertile ground for planting class hatred, and prepare them for enrollment in the Left Army, an army which now includes labor unions, W.P.A. workers, those on relief, organized Negroes, the teachers and professors and the greater part of the youth.

The tragedy for youth lies in this, that every condition for the success of the Communist scheme is created at the expense of youth, and every tactic employed in actualizing it makes the position of youth more desperate and more nearly hopeless.

Revolutionists Do Not Want Improvement

First, there is the ghastly extent of unemployment among the youth. Hundreds of thousands of young Americans up to the age of 27 have never had any other employment than Government relief work. When will they realize that the alien-minded minority in control of our country *does not want this condition with its revolutionary possibilities removed?*

Second, the burden that the ever-growng national debt imposes is almost solely a burden on the youth. No matter how this debt is liquidated, by confiscatory inflation, or by being paid off, dollar for dollar, it will be paid at the cost of liberty and happiness of present-day American youth. If all the private and corporate wealth of the nation is confiscated to pay off the debt, what economic force will be left in the country that can run a country and employ the idle millions? And such a collapse is just the crisis out of which a Red dictatorship will fasten on America. If the other alternative is adopted, it will mean that the youth of the present will be slaves during their whole life, working, not for their own wants and happiness, but in order to pay $2 out of every $3 they earn to the Government. The national standard of living in this case would not be pleasant to contemplate.

Third, the individual future of almost every American youth has been jeopardized. Not one of those same young men now in universities and professional schools who spend their conversation in deciding "how to stop Hitler" knows where or whether he can start his career.

Where is there research or construction to take up all the young engineers, business to take up accountants and stenographers?

How many families can now afford to have a doctor every time they need one?

Or to litigate their legal claims?

Where is there a future for those trained in commerce?

Youth Always Fights the Nation's Wars

Lastly, American youth by the millions will be conscripted into armies to be sent to Asia and Europe to fight the battle of world Communism, *unless a powerful Christian nationalism arises to cast out the alien-thinking minority in Washington.* A war will give our "liberal" Government a chance to avenge wrongs done it by those foreign governments which have liquidated class war within their nations, and to defeat by a repressive war-dictatorship the incipient movement among the people against radicalism and in favor of a Christian nationalistic government.

Those to return from the battlefields where world Communism would send them to a Communist America would perhaps wish they were in the war cemeteries of Western Europe with their buddies.

With this prospect—with the assurance of Communist leaders that the Popular Front is not only to defeat Fascism, but also to bring about Communist dictatorship — with the mask torn off the Leftist trend of many in high posts of control in the Federal Government—no longer controlled by Americans—is American youth to wait supinely, absorbed in picture magazines, for the butchers to start their blood bath here?

Youth of America — *Awake!* It's *your* problem and *your* task. You are the special victim if they win.

Flaming Youth at American Congress Only Poor Fish to Baltimore Satirist

H. L. MENCKEN

in the Baltimore Sun

The American Youth Congress, which performed briefly and ingloriously in New York, is hardly to be taken seriously. There is no evidence that it represents any considerable segment of American youth, or that the segment it does represent is itself representative. During the low-comedy row over Communism which almost broke up the sessions, the friends of Marxism accused its enemies of coming mainly from paper organizations, and the charge was probably true enough. But it was really true of many of the champions of the Kremlin.

Relatively few of the delegates appeared to fall within the age limits set by the congress' own definition of youth, to wit, from 16 to 24. Some of the loudest whoopers, especially on the female side, were obviously well above 25, to speak with all due gallantry, and some of the bucks were growing bald.

The Hon. James B. Carey, the C.I.O. bruiser who was put up to slap down the small faction of Stalinicides, admits to 27, and looks older He is a veteran of many gory labor battles and shows no more wetness behind the ears than the warden of a house of correction.

Among the other fuglemen of youth were Y.M.C.A. secretaries, collectors for Spanish refugees, political ward heelers, full-time succorers of the Negro, labor goons, streamlined social workers and other such kept altruists. The organizations represented included outfits as glaringly unyouthful as the Cooperative League of the United States, the United Rubber Workers of America, the Brotherhood of Railway Carmen, and the Federation of Architects, Engineers, Chemists and Technicians. It would have been just as rational to give seats to the Shriners, the G.A.R., or the bench of bishops . . .

If the Communists really ran the show from some secret Kremlin behind the scenes, then they surely made a sorry mess of it.

Boston Mass Meeting

Father Coughlin to Address Social Justiceites in Massachusetts

On the evening of Friday, September 8, a mass meeting of Christian-American readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine and their friends will be held in the Boston Arena, Massachusetts Avenue and St. Botolph Street.

After a series of speeches by prominent citizens of New England, Father Coughlin will speak directly to the gathering by telephone and public address system from the Shrine of the Little Flower in Royal Oak.

Admission by ticket only. Tickets are in the hands of SOCIAL JUSTICE distributors, or you may write or telephone Mr. Francis P. Moran, 58 Harbor View Street, Dorchester, Mass.

Doors will open at 7:00 o'clock.

TEN THOUSAND WILL ATTEND — FOR GOD AND COUNTRY.

Coalition Formulates Spain's 49 Statutes

World's Press Muffs Big Story to Report a Non-existent Dissension in Franco Government

THE NEWS:

Burgos, Spain— In a sweeping decree, consisting of 49 statutes, Generalissimo Francisco Franco, has proclaimed that Spain is to be a one-party nation predicated on syndicates wherein all classifications of society will be represented. The central organization, the Falangist Party, will have complete control over the country's vital departments and policies, domestic and foreign.

THE BACKGROUND:

The history-making Spanish council meeting that was in progress for a full week at Burgos, wherein the future of the New Spain was mapped out on Christian principles, was woefully muffed by the world press, either innocently because newsmen did not have a grasp of the event involved or deliberately by those who did not want the truth to become known.

Probably both factors were involved. One thing is sure. The important event was scarcely mentioned and all the emphasis was placed on the purported "dissension" between Franco and General Quiepo de Llano. These lies were finally nailed by the *Catholic Herald* of London which dispatched a special reporter to interview the latter general.

On being apprised of the foreign reports, General De Llano characterized them as "fantastic and a lot of nonsense."

General Denies Reports of Rift

"I want the world to know that General Franco and myself are working together most closely," he said.

All of these rumors appear to have originated from measures of reorganization, bringing the Spanish Army to a peace-time basis, that preceded the decree of the 49 statutes. The army is to consist of 24 divisions, and the normal peace-time strength will be from 250,000 to 300-000 men.

To obtain a clear view of the present Spanish situation, it must be remembered that the two underlying forces that enabled General Franco to win were the ideal of Christianity as opposed to godless Communism and the love of the Spanish people for their homeland.

But behind those two unifying forces were complex groups, some of which were diametrically opposed to each other as to methods to be employed for the restoration and reconstruction of Spain. Now that victory has been won, the two main groups, the Monarchists or Traditionalists and the Falangists, contested for dominance. At first, General Franco seemed to be holding the balance between the two rival factions, but he could not indefinitely go along with both groups. The meeting at Burgos, resulting in the 49 statutes, permitting the merging of the best in both factions for the "Spanish Traditional-Falangist Party."

One Party Plan Represents All Classes

The multiple 49 point decree, adopted by the Nationalist Council, of which General Franco is president, permits the dominance of the Falangist Party over Spain's foreign policy, education, press and propaganda, women's organizations, social insurance and relief, war veterans' organizations, youth clubs, labor bodies, justice, communication, transport, police and public services.

The Falangists Party was founded by Jose Antonio de Rivera, a son of the former dictator of Spain who tried to take the reins in 1931 when King Alfonso was exiled. De Rivera was shot by the Reds at Alicante in November, 1936.

The Falangists have a 27 point program which calls for a Spain of producers and repudiates both Communism and capitalism. Some observers assert that there is a similarity between it and the 25 point program of the German National Socialist Party, but because it desires Spain for the Spaniards, without exploitation or the usury of international finance, this does not mean that it copied from Nazi sources.

Aim to Restore Christian Spain

The Falangists desire to revive the glories of Christian Spain and comprise more than 2-million members. It was the Falangists who rallied the masses to the standard of Franco and they performed heroic work during the war, both at the front and in the home.

The leader of the Falangists today is Ramon Serrano Suner, 40 years of age, frail in appearance, scholarly and enigmatic, who is, incidentally, a brother-in-law to General Franco. He has been in the position of Minister of the Interior, a key post of considerable power, but it is not revealed whether he is to be named again to the post.

Press reports claim that the decree "apparently" outlaws the Carlist or Monarchist Party. In all probability, it will be found not to have been outlawed, but rather merely merged into the new party. After all, conspicuous among the royalists are the Carlists of Navarre. They were among Franco's bravest fighters; they spring from some of the oldest and best families in Spain; they are strongly represented in army circles and while they desire the restoration of the King, Franco would not alienate them by outlawing them by decree.

The Carlists, coming from aristocratic families, have been rather slow to accept the long over-due social changes necessary for a just distribution of wealth and social justice, but there are many evidences from the costly civil war that they are more than anxious to co-operate with the Falangists, who are recruited largely from the populace of the big cities, and together build a Christian social order.

One more thing is sure. The word, "dictatorship," to characterize the new set-up of governmental control of Spain is laughable. Stalin of Russia has a dictatorship, but not General Franco who is simply endeavoring to build up a system of representation based on classifications of society, under a Corporative State.

Communism in China Is Not Unlike Blind Men's Description of Elephant

THE NEWS:

Hong Kong—The latest and largest Chinese war enterprise to find protection and profit in this British Crown Colony is the Safety Industrial Company, a million dollar concern which manufactures gas masks for Generalissimo Chiang Kai-shek's armies.

It has been rumored that Communists continue to aid the Chinese officials through David Kung, youthful head of the Central Trust, responsible for war ministry purchases. His uncle is T. V. Soong, who owns the privately controlled Bank of China and other key financial institutions.

THE BACKGROUND:

Reports on Communism in China, almost invariably, are complicated by a continuous propaganda from both sides, which aims either to distort or suppress factual reporting. Obviously, either Soviet Russia or ambitious Japan will control more and more of the Orient; somewhere between fact and propaganda lies the western world's hope of eventually Christianizing the East.

The American newspaper reader's position, as a consequence, is not unlike that of the three blind men who attempted to describe an elephant. The one feeling his gnarled leg reported that an elephant is "like a tree"; the second, grasping the tail, said "a rope"; while the third, fondling the trunk, said both were wrong but that an elephant was "like a large and powerful snake." *And all three of them were right!*

One of the most earnest attempts to describe the situation in China that has come to our attention appears in the monthly magazine, *The Rock*, published by the Jesuit Fathers in Hong Kong. We quote, with permission, from *The Rock:*

"The most persistent charge that Japan makes in connection with the war is that Communism has China in its grip and that it is against Communism and not against China that Japan is fighting. We have stated many times that this is not true. China was not a Communist country at the beginning of the war. It is not one today. Is it more inclined towards Communism today than it was two years ago when the war began? If it is, it is because of Japan's attack and not in spite of it. It may be true that Russia is exerting pressure on China in order to gain a footing for Communism, but such pressure is of negligible effectiveness in comparison with that of Japan, which by spreading misery in the country is preparing the ground for the acceptance of Communism—which is the doctrine of despair.

Japanese Have Powerful Propaganda

"The propaganda machine of Japan is increasing in strength and it is making itself felt in foreign countries. In addition to its broadcasts, it sends news, official reports, and, above all, men. Latterly it has been sending more men than before, and among them it has sent some Catholics to repeat the story of Japan's 'Holy War Against Communism.' Probably many of those who tell the story believe it, because it has been dinned into them by press and book and radio and film ever since the war began.

"In the same way many English people, for instance, were hoodwinked into believing that the 'Loyalists' in Spain were fighting for liberty and democracy against Fascism, which was even more than a cock-and-bull story than that of Japan fighting against Communism in China. . . .

Communism Growing Rapidly in China

"Now, having said that, we must not disguise the fact that *because of the war, Communism is a growing danger in China*, and it is a particularly serious danger because of the amount of propaganda for Communism that is going on in China and among Chinese outside it. A great deal of such propaganda is being carried on in Hong Kong. Some of it is probably being carried on by secret enemies of China, and some of it is being instigated and maintained by misguided friends.

"Anyone who has watched the development of the Chinese periodicals published in Hong Kong, or even of the bi-lingual illustrated magazines which have been printed here since the war, cannot but have noticed the marked, and increasing, prominence given to the Red Army—the vanguard of Communism which is giving only partial allegiance to the government of the country. The prominence is out of all relation to merit. The exploits of this army are magnified beyond recognition; the tales of the heroism and devotion of the soldiers and of the military genius of the leaders are told and retold—though in most cases the sole evidence for them is the word of the Red propagandists. There is a gigantic conspiracy on foot to make it appear that the Red Army is the 'one bright spot' in China at the present time, and Hong Kong is rapidly becoming the center of this conspiracy.

"What is printed in Chinese magazines is largely a matter for the Chinese people themselves, though it cannot but be deplored that neutral territory such as Hong Kong should be so misused in order to sow seeds of dissension and disloyalty that are certain to bear serious consequences for the Chinese people in time to come. But it is a much more serious matter when non-Chinese Leftists take a hand in the same nefarious game and under the guise of helping China are preparing it for a generation of sorrow. This, too, is happening in Hong Kong.

Chinese Bravely Face Double Danger

"What is the logical consequence of this support of the Red army and of Chinese Communism? Does anyone suppose that if these left-wing fighters only give limited allegiance to the Central government in time of war, they will give it wholehearted loyalty in peace time if victory comes in the end? No one could possibly imagine that this will happen. If victory comes, the Red Army will split the country once more—as Red armies ever have done wherever they gained power—and civil war worse than a foreign war will ravage the country once again. This is no scaremonger's picture, but sober fact. The Reds themselves would be the last to admit that they will accept national government when the war is over. Their terms will be: Give in to us or fight us.

"The prospect is a terrible one, but there is nothing gained by blinding oneself to reality and hoping for the best. China at present has one national leader, who enjoys a greater measure of confidence and united control than any Chinese leader in modern days. He, in his capacity of national leader, is facing two dangers: the attack from without, which he is manfully resisting, and the attack from within. That latter danger is no less real than the first. He continually bids his people to be united and not divided in their allegiance. The best friends of China do what he asks. Those who do otherwise may wish to be thought friends, but in reality they are the friends of China's enemies."

Saving Farm and City Home Owners

We Must Abolish Vicious Deficiency Judgments Which Prevent Dispossessed from Again Owning Property

By HON. HENRIK SHIPSTEAD
U.S. Senator from Minnesota

THE HOME OWNERS' LOAN CORPORATION was organized in 1933 for the purpose of relieving distress in the home mortgage field. Its lending activities were confined entirely to properties in the process of foreclosure or threatened with foreclosure. It was created as a purely emergency agency and its refinancing operations ceased in June, 1936.

You will notice that it was organized for temporary operation so far as lending is concerned. They do not loan money any more. They bailed out the banks and now they hold the mortgages and the property and they are trying to collect interest at 5 per cent on money they borrowed for 2.5 per cent.

No commercial banker could get better terms to make money than that. But they relieved the professional money-lenders of so many bad loans that they have taken a tremendous loss. The poor fellow who pays 5 per cent as long as he can pay, pays most of the losses sustained by helping the banks to unload.

Never Again Will He Be an Owner!

When the borrower—whether he is a farmer or a home owner—has been foreclosed on, the Federal Land Bank, the Federal Mortgage Corporation, and the Home Owners' Loan Corporation, *all three take deficiency judgments.* That is the extra pound of flesh that they exact from the home owner and farmer who borrows money. After they have taken everything he has, they get a deficiency judgment and will follow him to the grave if he ever again gets possession of any property. As a result, there is no hope for him to start anew to accumulate any property, because they have a right to take it away from him, and consequently he goes on relief.

When manufacturers borrow money, they issue bonds and under their corporate organization, there is no liability. You cannot get deficiency judgments against a corporation in case it goes bankrupt. In the case of a corporation, if it goes bankrupt, the bondholders and securityholders may take the property, but there is no liability on which a deficiency judgment can be based under the law. However, usually the insiders who have been managing the corporation and looting the stockholders go to a Federal court, have a reorganization and freeze out the innocent purchasers of stocks and bonds, and so retain the property themselves. The farmer and home owner cannot do that. They take his property away from him and then they follow him to the grave with the deficiency judgment.

Bill Seeks to Bar Deficiency Judgments

In the last session of Congress, I introduced a bill to prohibit the taking of deficiency judgments. I asked for a hearing before the Banking and Currency Committee of the Senate and *when they heard what I was trying to do, they closed up shop and I could not get another meeting from the committee.* A deficiency judgment on a home or a farm is a relic of the money-lender of the middle ages.

The measure which I introduced, known as the *Farm Mortgagors' Emergency Protection Act, (S.J.R. 65)* was designed to meet a set of injustices as far as it is felt to be within the constitutional power of the Congress to do so.

If this measure were approved, Federal farm lending agencies will no longer have the authority to take deficiency judgments against a distressed farmer. Farmers will no longer see their land taken away from them by Federal agencies and sold to someone else at a substantial reduction. The benefits of this reduction will be given to the man who is on the land who can no longer meet his mortgage payments. The bill provides a mechanism through which the distressed farmer will be permitted to obtain a reappraisal of his farm and get a new loan based on the judicially arrived at value of his land.

Father Coughlin's broadcast on the afternoon of Sunday, August 6, entitled *"Unpayable Debts,"* in which he quoted Senator Shipstead's remarks in the *Congressional Record*, has attracted such wide and approving comment that SOCIAL JUSTICE asked Senator Shipstead to write further of his views for the readers of this National Weekly. We feel privileged to present the Minnesota Senator's suggestions on how to rescue the American farmer and city home owner.

—THE EDITOR.

Vicious System Creates Proletarians

Everybody knows that for the last 20 years, farm prices and incomes have been such that it has been impossible for the farmer to produce enough to carry the heavy mortgages incurred during a period of inflated values. The result has been epidemics of foreclosures—farmers dispossessed and pauperized for life through deficiency judgments.

Such attempts at remedy as have been made have, for the most part, resulted in refinancing the farmer's debt, leaving him saddled with just as large, if not a larger, total debt burden. Some reductions in principal have been made, but most of the cut in the mortgage debt has come through the harsh method of foreclosure.

Since 1934, the two Federal lending agencies, the Federal Land Banks and Federal Farm Mortgage Corporation, have foreclosed no fewer than 60,000 mortgages representing an investment of $220-million. At the end of 1938, these two agencies still held more than $132-million in farm lands. Since 1924, these two agencies have sold 78,228 whole farms and 10,415 part farms in which they had an investment of $296,800,000 for a consideration which represented an average loss of about 23 per cent. The original farm owner has been bled white and then handed a deficiency judgment to cure his ills.

These facts should point to the glaring injustices and hardships which are being worked upon the farmers of America. Since Federal lending agencies hold less than 40 per cent of all the total farm mortgage debt of the country, it is safe to assume that these injustices are widespread. I only regret that it is not possible to offer general legislation of the type I am proposing that would apply to all mortgage contracts.

Would Give Former Owner First Chance

In my resolution, the continued power of the Federal Land Banks to issue bonds is made to hinge upon their willingness to agree to grant certain benefits to farm mortgagors. In the first instance, *they must agree to cancel all deficiency judgments* obtained before or after enactment of this resolution. These banks must also agree, on the application of a distressed mortgagor, *to scale down his debt to the appraised value of the farm.* The standard of appraisal value fixed by the resolution takes into account the income producing capacity of the farm, the price real property would be likely to bring at a forced sale, and the prevailing prices for similar property in the local community.

In the case of land now in the possession of the Federal Land Banks, and between them the Federal Land Banks and the Farm Mortgage Corporation hold more than 32,000 farms, *I propose an effort should be made to return these lands to their former owners wherever possible.*

In order to accomplish this, my resolution gives the original owner, or his successor in interest, the right to apply to the bank for the repurchase of his old farm at its currently appraised value. A new mortgage is to be issued to him and all previous claims against him are cancelled.

Exactly the same provisions apply to Land Bank Commissioner Loans under this resolution as do to Federal Land Bank loans and the same benefits are extended in both instances.

Resolution Provides for New Mortgage

The benefits of this proposal would be granted to a borrower only once. Its permanent effect will be to abolish foreclosures, except as measures of last resort, and do away with the vicious deficiency judgment. New mortgages are to be granted in cases where old ones are scaled down or in cases of repurchase.

The capital stock authorization of the Farm Mortgage Corporation is increased $75-million to take care of these new needs and the power of the Land Bank Commissioner to make loans is extended to 1942 under my *Resolution.*

In the event that the appraisals made by the existing Land Bank appraisers shall not be satisfactory to all parties concerned, my *Resolution* provides for appeal to a Board of Local Appraisers to be appointed by the district courts of the United States. Each county in which agricultural products are produced is to have an Appeal Board of three persons familiar with the value of agricultural lands in that county. This is to be known as a Board of Appraisers which is to report its appraisals to the clerk of the Federal District Court and is responsible to the court. The findings of the board are final and its valuation must be accepted by the bank.

H.O.L.C. Bails Out the Money-Lenders

During its life as a lending agency, the Home Owners' Loan Corporation made 1,017,948 loans for an amount slightly in excess of $3-billion. Since its activities were confined entirely to refinancing, the proceeds of the loans went to former mortgage-holders!

Seventy-nine per cent of the money paid out by the H.O.L.C. for refinancing existing home mortgages went to financial institutions such as banks, mortgage companies and insurance companies. In other words, the Federal corporation served as a rescue squad for the bankers and the money-lenders in bailing out the poor loans made by them. The other 21 per cent went to private and non-institutional lenders.

In dollars and cents, the professional lenders were benefited to the extent of $2,172,500,000 and the private lenders received $577,500,000. Some $350-million of the total $3-billion went for the payment of taxes, assessments, repairs and the costs of processing the loans. Scale-downs in connection with refinancing amounted to only $200-million, which the H.O.L.C. estimates was 7 per cent of the original debt.

Since its creation, the H.O.L.C. has acquired 136,733 homes through foreclosure and default.

Figures Give Picture of Home Situation

On the first of May, 1939, it still had in its possession 89,420 homes which had a capital value of $506,-600,000 and was in the process of acquiring 27,556 more homes through foreclosure.

Since its inception, the corporation has sold 46,570 homes for a total of $197,324,000, which represented a loss to the corporation, including repairs and maintenance, of $34,244,000. In its last annual report, the H.O.L.C. points out that approximately 40 per cent of its borrowers are delinquent in taxes for one or more of the years 1933 to 1937. Although the corporation does not foreclose for the non-payment of taxes, it is obvious that this delinquency, if long continued, will result in a material increase in the number of forced sales of home properties.

Despite the fact that the H.O.L.C. charges an interest rate of 5 per cent and will, when current refinancing is done, be paying about 2.25 per cent of its funds, it showed a deficit of $53-million in March of this year. Its losses are heavy on much of the property acquired by it and *since it was a corporation created to save homes for their owners, the emphasis should be to pass on the benefit of cheap money in order that the maximum number of homes might remain in the hands of their owners.*

Once these homes pass into the hands of the corporation, they represent a liability and tend to depress the home construction and realty market.

Under the Federal Housing Authority, the Government has gone into the lending business for new construction and this places the Government in the position of having houses for sale, and at the same time, encouraging its potential customers to build new homes. The possibility of conflict in policy on the part of these two governmental agencies is strong.

Since its organization, the H.O.L.C. has taken 22,596 deficiency judgments for a total of $32,216,198. Over half, or $17,700,000 of the value of these judgments were taken in the State of New York alone.

Out of these $32,200,000 in judgments only $1,479,538 has been collected and $23,575,654 have been adjusted or written off.

The H.O.L.C. today has $7,161,000 in judgments outstanding.

CHAPTER XI

How Rabbinical Leadership Has Hurt Cause of Jews

PART TWO

PURSUING THE THOUGHT introduced in the previous chapter, namely, *"What is anti-Semitism?"* or *"Who is an anti-Semite?"* it is expedient to carry on with these questions in a more concrete way in this present chapter.

But first, let me make sure that I am not misunderstood: Communism and Semitism are not one and the same thing. In other words, because one is a Jew, that is no argument that he is a Communist; and because one is a Communist, that is no argument that he is a Jew. The point to bear in mind is that accidentally, and not substantially, Jews have become associated with Bolshevism in a manner altogether unwarranted by the proportion they represent in the general population of any country.

One does not need to quote figures and statistics prepared by foreigners to sustain this point. One needs only witness the radical processions on the streets of New York, and scrutinize the names of those prominent in the radical organizations of America. Thence sufficient local evidence will be presented to indicate that Jews are too prominent altogether both in Bolshevism itself and in organizations which sustain it. That, indeed, is unfortunate. It is doubly unfortunate when organized Jewry fails to lash out against these betrayers of the Jewish nation who, more than any others, are responsible for impressing, either rightfully or wrongfully, upon the public mind that Jews are too closely associated with Communism.

More than that, the Jews have been unfortunate insofar as certain spokesmen for Jewry—unofficial spokesmen who happen to be prominent among Jews—have given utterance to statements which reflect in no complimentary manner upon the entire race.

Boasts of Leaders Injure Jewish Cause

A few of these widely quoted and well-known statements which contributed to the wave of anti-Semitism throughout the world are here set down. They are samples of hundreds of others which space will not permit us to quote: In a speech delivered by Dr. Mandelstam at the Basel Zionist Congress of 1898, we read: "The Jews energetically reject the idea of fusion with the other nationalities and cling firmly to their historical hope, i.e., of world empire."

The *London Morning Post* of September 6, 1920, quotes Adolphe Cremieux, the president of the Alliance Israelite Universelle, as having said: "A Jew will under no circumstances become the friend of a Christian or a Moslem before the moment arrives when the light of a Jewish Faith, the only religion of reason, will shine over the whole world."

This same Jewish gentleman, the founder and president of the Alliance Israelite Universelle, quoting from A. Shamakoff (*"Address in Defense of T. Dekshin and Others,"* page 36, Moscow: University Printing Office, 1907) is responsible for the statement "Capture the press! Through it everything will come to you in the natural course of events."

The *Jewish News*, September 19, 1919, contains the following passage: "Hertzl knew that we were on the eve of a terrible crisis which would affect the whole world. Perhaps soon some kind of world congress would meet, and the great, free, mighty England would then continue the work which she had started with her generous offer (of Uganda). And should you now ask me what Israel should do in Uganda, so let me say the following words just as if I were showing you the steps of a high and still higher ladder: Hertzl, the Zionist Conference, the Future World War, the Peace Conference at which the free Palestine will be created with the help of England."

The *American Hebrew* of June 3, 1938, already quoted by Father Coughlin, contains the following statement:

"Either by accident or design a Jew has come to a position of foremost importance in each of these countries (England, France and Russia); in the hands of non-Aryans lies the very lives of millions."

The above quotations are some of the innocuous passages in my possession—passages from eminent Jews; passages which have gained wide circulation to the detriment of Jews in general; and passages which have not received general, official contradiction from any authoritative body capable of speaking for all the Jews in America.

Is There Any Jewish Authority?

Perhaps the question arises: "Is there an authoritative body to speak for all the Jews either as religionists or as racials? If not, must Americans come to the conclusion that Jews, as religionists or as racials, cannot be treated as an organized body but must be handled as individuals or as a disorganized group?"

If that last question were answered in the affirmative, and if the silence on the part of the Jews in general indicates that it should be answered in the affirmative, the outcome for the religious, American-minded Jews is none too sanguine.

All the above is related to Semitism. All the above is related to the growth of anti-Semitism. The preeminent writer, Hilaire Belloc, in his book entitled *"The Jews"* should be quoted at this juncture for the value of his views on anti-Semitism. He says: "It was the instinctive policy with the mass of the Jewish nation, a deliberate policy with most of its leaders not only to use ridicule against anti-Semitism but to label as 'anti-Semitic' any discussion of the Jewish problem at all, or, for that matter, any information even on the Jewish problem. It was used to prevent, through ridicule, any statement of any fact with regard to the Jewish race save a few conventional compliments or a few conventional and harmless jests.

"If a man alluded to the presence of a Jewish financial power in any religion—for instance, in India—he was an anti-Semite. If he interested himself in the peculiar character of Jewish philosophical discussions, especially in matters concerning religion, he was an anti-Semite. If the emigrations of the Jewish masses from country to country, the vast modern invasion of the United States, for instance (which has been organized and controlled like an army on the march) interested him as an historian, he could not speak of it under pain of being called an anti-Semite. If he exposed a financial swindler who happened to be a Jew, he was an anti-Semite. If he exposed a group of Parliamentarians taking money from the Jews, he was an

an answer to FATHER COUGHLIN'S CRITICS

By BEN MARCIN

anti-Semite. If he did no more than call a Jew a Jew, he was an anti-Semite." (Belloc, *"The Jews,"* p. 160-161.)

Council Critics Imply Fr. Coughlin Is Nazi

Fortified with these observations from the pen of Mr. Belloc, we remind our readers that the authors of the General Jewish Council book injudiciously emphasized the fact that Father Coughlin was praised in Nazi Germany. While no conclusion was drawn by the authors of this book, nevertheless, a mild intimation to the effect that Father Coughlin was a "Nazi" was associated with their statement. And from this mild intimation the popular mind affected by the General Jewish Council book concluded: "Coughlin is a Nazi."

Sober minds, however, did not reason that Father Coughlin was a Nazi agent or a Hitler hireling even though he had been praised by the Nazi press.

In June, 1931, Mrs. Otto Kahn was royally received by the Soviet government and feted with a state dinner; but no one would reason that Mrs. Kahn was, therefore, a Soviet Commissar or Stalin's wife.

In August, 1936, *The Kommunistiecesky International No. 13* said: "We are going to work for the election of Roosevelt because we wish to strengthen our influence among America's many radical groups." The *Moscow Daily News* (November 25, 1933) featured a picture of President Roosevelt with Soviet bigwigs, Kalinin and Stalin. United States Communists have endorsed Rooseveltian New Dealism. No one could reason with logic that President Roosevelt was, therefore, a Soviet leader or that New Dealism was American Communism.

According to Herbert L. Matthews (*New York Times*, Nov. 7, 1937) the Loyalists of Madrid "rejoiced when Mayor LaGuardia was re-elected on Tuesday." But no one could reason that LaGuardia was a Spanish Loyalist.

The Communist-created and controlled Workers' Alliance extravagantly praised Mrs. Franklin D. Roosevelt who was a speaker at their 1939 Congress and who accepted an honorary membership in "The Right to Work Congress." We hardly believe that anyone would conclude that Mrs. Franklin D. Roosevelt was, therefore, the creator and controller of the Workers' Alliance. (*New York Times*, June 8, 1939.)

Jew in America Must Be American

The Reverend Joseph Moody, professor of History at Cathedral College, New York, accepted a scroll from the international Jewish Masonic Society, B'nai B'rith, in recognition of his outstanding work for Jewry. No one would conclude, we hope, that Dr. Moody was, therefore, an international Jewish Mason with definite anti-Catholic and anti-Christian tendencies.

This error in judgment by the authors of the General Jewish Council pamphlet may be best explained by William Zuckerman who wrote in *Harper's Magazine*, (January, 1935, p. 210): "It is one of the minor tragedies of Jewish thinking that by long and unfortunate association, criticism of the Jew and anti-Semitism have become inseparable in the Jewish mind."

And while Jews maintain that they have no official spokesman to make pronouncements for the Jews as racials or as religionists, they seem to combine almost to a man in labeling any person as an anti-Semite who attacks an individual Jew.

That inconsistency will prove to be the cradle of disaster especially when many Jews persist in maintaining that all Jews throughout the world are co-national; for no nation in the world will suffer the support of such a theory. *Is it not time for Jews in America, if they wish to be Americans, to cut themselves off nationally from all other Jews in the world?* Every other race in America has succeeded in doing this—the Celtic, the Nordic, the Aryan, the Latin, the Slavic and even most of the Orientals.

Jewish Influence on Side of 'Loyalists'

The authors of the General Jewish Council pamphlet accuse that Father Coughlin was "an active and conscientious disseminator of Nazi propaganda in America" because he stated facts which Nazi officials had used for their own purpose. It would be just as logical for the authors to conclude that Dean Inge of England was an agent of Pope Pius XI because he and the Pope denounced the barbarities perpetrated by the Spanish Loyalists and Communists.

Of old, Jesus Christ publicized His disapproval of the Scribes and Pharisees. Unfortunately, His enemies spread the canard that He performed His miracles by the power of the devil and was a devil Himself. He, too, must have been some sort of a Nazi and an anti-Semite.

Is it advantageous for Jews in general (since their co-racials have risen to prominence in the press) to call the Radio Priest a Nazi and an anti-Semite and at the same time militate against the cause of Christianity in general by their supporting the Leftists in Spain?

The whole Catholic population of the United States has been well aware of the silence of the world press relative to the terrible sufferings of Catholics in Spain. Father Coughlin expressed what was in the hearts of millions when he said that the persecution of massacred Christians in Russia, Mexico and Spain must be as publicly condemned by the controlled press as the sufferings of Jews in Germany.

The belief is universal among us that the press, where Jews have gained so much control, has been diligent not only in hiding the destruction of churches and the massacres of Christians, but that it has actually used its influence to build up sympathy for the people whose renegade co-racials have been responsible for these terrible anti-Christian outrages.

Therefore, Father Coughlin's recent broadcasts in this respect simply have expressed the reaction of bleeding Christianity against powerful, irreligious Jewry.

Father Coughlin Not an 'Anti-Semite'

For doing so, however, Father Coughlin is not regarded by Christians as an anti-Semite. An excellent example of Catholic, priestly thought on this subject has been recently given by that great Catholic historian, the Reverend Francis Borgia Steck, O.F.M. Father Steck bluntly forbids world Jewry to try to pin the label of "anti-Semite" upon Father Coughlin.

Says Father Steck in a letter to *Commonweal* deploring the attack of Msgr. John A. Ryan against Father Coughlin:

"His broadcast of November 20th * * * was no more anti-Semitic than what Archbishop Mitty told us over the radio on November 16th, and what Msgr. Fulton Sheen said in his sermon at the recent Pan-American Mass here in Washington."

World Jewry (and its satellites) would do well to mark Father Steck's further suggestion. "Confusion," says he, "is apt to arise however in the minds of such as do not like to see Father Coughlin in this distinguished company. It adds so much to his words and makes his stand so strong."

Regarding Father Coughlin's allusions to the "Facts of the Jews' participation in the process of dissolution . . . . by co-operation of many Jews with anti-religious and revolutionary movements," it is noteworthy that Father Coughlin has been particularly careful to draw attention to the activities of only the irreligious and atheistic Jews. In the *Catholic World* of July 1937 (p. 457), we read the following under the title of *"The Church and Jewry"*:

"But, opposed to the 'Orthodox' Jew we find the 'Reform' Jew, who traces back his school of thought to Moses Mendelssohn, an Askenazim Jew in Germany (1729-86). He (Mendelssohn) was a friend of Lessing and grandfather of Mendelssohn-Bartholdy the musician. His school of thought has lent itself very easily to the ravages of modernism, since it has always been distinguished by 'Very lax views of Biblical inspiration and bends Jewish beliefs and practices so as to adapt themselves to environment. (*The Catholic Encyclopedia, vid. 'Jews'.*) It is chiefly prevalent in Germany and the United States, and is deeply deplored by the strictly Orthodox Jews of the Sephardic tradition of the *'Schulchran Aruch'*. To this division, in the writer's opinion, can be traced those activities subversive of morality and law, which, of recent years, have given the Jew an evil reputation. The leopard cannot change his spots. If you take from the Jew his religion, leaving him only with his perennially frustrated sense of nationalism (a sense aggravated by his inferiority complex in contact with the Gentiles among whom his lot is cast) trouble is bound to follow. It is among such moral outcasts that the agitator is bred. The Jewish Communist is the worst form of Communist, and, in the war on religion in Russia, it is the Jewish Communists who have, from the first, taken a sinister delight in the proscription of all Jewish (as well as Christian) religious teaching. Throughout the world we find the renegade Jew behind the anti-social movements of the day—and it is because of their knowledge of this fact, that the Roman Pontiffs of the past hundred years have looked askance on Jewry. And the Orthodox Jew can do nothing—the modern cult of youthful opinion with the Jew, as with Christian, laughs at authority. Where the end will come, whether another Moses Maimonides will arise to rally the stricken ranks of Jewish Orthodoxy, who knows? Nothing short of such a miracle can save Jewry from its worst elements under modern conditions."

'G. K.'s Weekly' Calls Bolshevism Jewish

Another Catholic author, who is widely read, says the following: "Communism is a Jewish movement" and again "Bolshevism in Russia is a Jewish movement." (Belloc, *"The Jews,"* chapter 3 and chapter 8.)

In *G. K.'s Weekly* of February 4, 1937, we read the following from the same pen: "As for anyone who does not know that the present revolutionary Bolshevik movement is Jewish in direction, and especially Jewish in Russia, I can only say that he must be a man who is taken in by the suppressions of our deplorable press." And again on August 13, 1936, in the same weekly we read: "Moscow is only a symbolic word, but the reality beneath the symbol is now fairly familiar. It is a group of men well-organized . . . This group of men is cosmopolitan and largely Jewish, with a Jewish intensity of purpose, the Jewish ability to act in secret, the Jewish indifference to property and national ideals . . . and, above all, the Jewish tenacity . . . Moscow has the enormous material advantage of vast funds, such as a despotism can levy at will from the labor of more than 50-million adult men and women working on its soil, allowing as productive of revenue one-third of the gross population. All

the surplus value of that labor is available, and a very large part of it is actually used for propaganda and a supply in countries outside Russia."

Many Catholic cardinals and bishops throughout the world have drawn attention to the dangerous anti-Christian activities of the irreligious element of world Jewry's leadership. We herewith give a few quotations from well-known cardinals and bishops from various parts of the world. Catholic priests are quite familiar with the famous letter of 1921 written in Hungary by Bishop Ottocar Prohaszka. Bishop Prohaszka knows full well the terrors of the Communist revolution in Hungary directed by the Jew, Bela Kun (Cohen), who lately worked with "Loyalists" in Spain.

Bishops Indict Irreligious Jewry

Says Bishop Prohaszka: "Hungary wants to remain a Hungarian State. Neither England nor the United States is qualified to contest this right. We proclaim to the world that we cannot endure the continued Jewish usurpation and we shall get rid of it. We do not hate anybody, not even the Jews, but we love our people and our Fatherland first. We must safeguard our own existence first. France to the French, England to the English. Perfect. But to whom Hungary? To the Hungarians. She belongs to us and we shall not allow anybody to steal her from us, either by violence or by ruse. Let them accuse us of anti-Semitism, of reaction or of whatnot. We shall not be intimidated or duped. We unmask and we denounce this so-called Liberalism that expels us from our own house to hand it over 'liberally' to Jewry. This liberalism is only treason."

It is well to remember that these words of Bishop Prohaszka were uttered in the year 1921, long before the advent of Hitler to power.

In a similar manner, the attention of the Catholic world, in 1933, was drawn to the pastoral letter issued by Bishop Gfoellner of Linz, Austria, in which he said among other things, "The international Jewish spirit is altogether different from the Jewish nationality and the Jewish religion. It is incontestable that a number of Jews, devoid of all religion, exercise a supremely pernicious influence in all the domains of modern civilization . . . To combat and destroy this pernicious influence of Judaism is for all sincere Christians not only a legitimate right but an imperious conscientious duty."

Can anyone wonder that Father Coughlin should in similar manner exercise his civil right and perform his priestly duty, to expose such anti-Christian activities?

Two Cardinals Harsher Than Father Coughlin

In Catholic Poland, Cardinal Hlond emphasizes the existence of a Jewish problem in his *"Pastoral Letter of 1936"* as follows: "A Jewish question exists, and there will be one so long as the Jews remain Jews. It is an actual fact that the Jews fight against the Catholic Church. They are free-thinkers, and constitute the vanguard of Atheism, Bolshevism, and Revolution."

Father Coughlin's complaint is against the activities of such atheistic Jews. His appeal to religious-minded Jews seems to be but the echo of Catholic thought throughout various parts of the world. ***In fact, Cardinal Hlond seems to recommend steps never suggested by Father Coughlin. Says the Cardinal:***

"One does well to prefer his own kind in commercial dealings and to avoid Jewish stores and Jewish stalls in the markets, but it is not permissible to demolish Jewish businesses. One should protect one's self against the evil influence of Jewish morals, and particularly boycott the Jewish press and the Jewish demoralizing publications, but it is inadmissible to assault, hit or injure the Jews."

Cardinal Baudrillart, Rector of the Catholic Institute of Paris, discoursing recently on the Jewish activities in Catholic Spain spoke as follows on the occasion of his eulogy of the martyrs of the French Revolution: "Personal sources allow me to affirm that at the beginning of the Spanish revolution, sixty Russian Jews crossed the Pyrenees to play the role of executive agents, to burn churches and convents, to pillage them, to profane sacred things and to instruct the Spaniards who would not have dared by themselves to put their hands on the objects of their age-old veneration."

Spanish Bishop Calls It 'Anti-Christ'

With these words of His Eminence in mind, it is easy for us to understand the indictment of world Jewry which His Excellency, the Most Rev. Antonio Garcia, Bishop of Tuy, Spain, uttered in his striking *"Pastoral Letter."* Bishop Garcia had, of course, a most excellent opportunity of seeing the effects of these anti-Christian activities. He was one of the signatories of the Collective Letter of the Spanish Hierarchy issued July 1, 1937. Msgr. Garcia writes as follows: "It is evident that the present conflict is one of the most terrible wars ever waged by anti-Christ, that is by Judaism, against the Catholic Church and against Christ. And at this crisis in the history of the world, Jewry uses two formidable armies: One secret, namely that of Freemasonry; the other, open and avowed, with hands dripping with blood, that of the Communist and all other associated bodies, Anarchists, arch-Syndicalists, Socialists, as well as the auxiliary forces, Rotary, and Leagues of Benefaction . . ." (Quoted from Monsignor Jouin's *"R. I. S. S.,"* April 1937, also Rev. Dennis Fahey, *"The Mystical Body of Christ in the Modern World,"* p. 264, second ed.)

In view of such testimony from priests, laity and members of the Hierarchy in various parts of the world it is ridiculous for the General Jewish Council to label Father Coughlin as a sinful anti-Semite because he has laid emphasis on the publicistic and revolutionary activities of atheistic and antireligious Jews. Father Coughlin carefully distinguished the bad from the good Jews and appealed to the latter to join with him in opposing Communism.

If the General Jewish Council book had not been printed and published widely, the information which I am constrained to print in this reply would not have been compiled to the detriment of the Jews and to the clarification of Father Coughlin's attitude. For example, while I recognize that thousands of patriotic American Jews are ashamed of a rabbinical leadership such as manifested by Rabbi Wise of New York, nevertheless, it is time for them to speak out against such leadership which coddles Communism; which proposes world revolution and world Judaistic domination.

In America we want neither Nazism or Fascism any more than we want Communism. But we recognize one of the reasons why Fascism sprang up in Italy and one of the reasons why Jews were seemingly discriminated against in Italy. It is this: In a pamphlet published in 1937 entitled *"The Rabbis of Italy to Their Brothers"*—a pamphlet signed by 30 rabbis and professors of rabbinical colleges of Italy, we read on page

(Continued on Page 15)

There's All That's Left of the New Deal, Mister

Bogtrotter 'Tells All' Concerning First Half of the 76th Congress

By the BOGTROTTER

WASHINGTON, D. C.—See those shattered walls over there, stranger? That's where Mr. Roosevelt's New Deal made its last stand against the first half of the 76th Congress.

Yep, them Congressmen just lined up on one side, and the New Dealers lined up on the other, and then when someone shouted, "Barkley is willin'," they piled in against each other. Ain't it an awful mess?

Waal now, I wouldn't feel too sorry for Mr. Roosevelt. He had his chance in the first part of the session. Yes, I know there was a glint of rebellion in the eyes of most of them when the session began, but Mr. Roosevelt drew them pretty much into line for a time on his armament deal. They passed the whole thing, you know, and gave him almost every dollar he asked for.

Mr. Roosevelt Succeeds In Tearing His Pants

Why did he lose his grip on 'em? Waal, that's kind of a ticklish question. Mr. Roosevelt bein' what he is, and Congress having its eye on 1940, almost anything could of happened. Yah, yore right—it shore *did* happen. Waal, I don't know just how he did lose his hold on Congress, but if you remember well, he tore his presidential pants when he was supposed to have said that "*America's frontier lies in France.*"

Yah, I know he denied it *afterward*, but you must remember it was a *secret* session, and that made it only his word against the word of the other fellows, and as far as that goes he was outnumbered. You ever been in court, stranger? I guess you know what numbers mean.

Now don't be jumpin' off the deep end, stranger, and be markin' down in yore pad there that this Congress pulled the rope that hauled us back from the brink. Don't fergit that this was the greatest spending Congress in the peacetime history of the United States. All together this crowd let loose of $13-billion, 300-million—and, stranger, that's an awful, awful lot of money.

He Likes to See a Good, Rousing Battle

It was a funny Congress. They rebelled on Mr. Roosevelt; they rebelled on his lending-spending theories of recovery; they rebelled on his idea of neutrality, and just before they expired they shot down anything that had a New Deal flag on it. Yet they spent money pretty freely, and they gave Mr. Roosevelt the decision on his armament program, on reorganization, and on some pretty smelly appointments.

You know, stranger, whenever I see a rip-snorting battle between the President and Congress, I always like to think that government is really working. Oh yes, I know we've got to have harmony, but this Government is founded on the idea of checks and balances, as the history books say, and Congress is supposed to check on the President, and vice versa.

Mr. Roosevelt doesn't like it, of course. He got awful steamed up toward the end of the session, and threatened to stump the country this fall, squawking about Congress. And his boy, that young whippersnapper Elliott, he sounded off about Congress too. I guess those folks don't know how much of a good thing they got. Nope, we've got to have both Congress and the President, whether Mr. Roosevelt likes it or not.

The Same Bunch, But My, How They've Changed!

Well sir, when you look over the whole thing, though, you can see where Congress really did leap onto its feet and paste Mr. Roosevelt all over the place. *And this was the same bunch that scraped and bowed and curtsied and yessed him to death in the other New Deal congressional sessions!* Now maybe Congress really is smartening up, and maybe Congress really will trim all the loose New Deal threads before Mr. Roosevelt gets his hat and gloves from the White House butler for the last time.

There was a pretty bad case of mutiny aboard the New Deal this session. Mr. Roosevelt's crew lost a lot of good manpower before the session got under way, and it was these lads who really turned the trick. Joining with the Republicans, they warmed up on such stuff as sliced relief appropriations, then they banged through in rapid succession with a defeat on neutrality, on lending-spending and on housing. And then, to tie the New Dealers' politics-smeared hands behind their backs, they passed the Hatch bill, taking the federal politicians out of politics in time to prevent corruption in 1940.

Well, it was a good, loud show, stranger, with plenty of excitement, fireworks and shooting. You better come down next January and see some more. Maybe Roosevelt will have those walls patched up by then, and that'll make them madder than ever.

Current Events Quiz

With Congress out of session, the national capital is about as noisy as a mouse in a cage of sleeping cats. Nothing happens in Washington the first week after adjournment, and accordingly this week's quiz is broadened to fit the national picture.

The questions are not easy—unless you know the score. No prizes are given and neatness doesn't count. If you answer all ten correctly, you're smarter than the author. If you answer nine, eight or seven, you're still smarter than the author. But if you can't answer one or two, you ought to be spanked.

QUESTIONS

1. What does Union Square in New York City abound with?

2. The Government has Harry Bridges on trial in San Francisco. What for?

3. Identify the following: (a) Walter Winchell; (b) "Flash" Winchell; (c) "Keyhole" Winchell; (d) Broadway Columnist Winchell; (e) Radio and Movie Star Winchell.

4. Correct this statement: "Clarence Hathaway is a noted American patriot who was tossed into the klink by a crowd of Trotzkyite plotters who were interested in garrotting the progressive movement in this country by cutting the throat of the Communist Party."

5. When do you think Hathaway will pay the judgment against him arising out of the *Daily Worker's* libel of Mrs. Walter Liggett of Minneapolis and her late husband?

6. Now that Congress has gone home and friends of Father Coughlin have loosened his shoelaces a bit, what do you think Elliott Roosevelt will find to talk about?

7. Figure this out: If all who oppose Communism are called Fascists, and all who are Communists call themselves 20th Century Americans, and all who assail Communist leaders are called anti-Semites, and all who oppose the New Deal are a combination of Fascists, anti-Semites, reactionaries and no good bums, why do we still sing the Star Spangled Banner and why should John L. Lewis throw stones?

8. What was that big noise around the Capitol last week, just before Congress adjourned?

9. How soon will Mr. Ickes be out of work?

10. List the following in the order of their importance: (a) the *New Masses;* (b) Mr. Garner's inside straight; (c) Earl Browder; (d) yesterday's newspaper; (e) three small cans of corn; (f) the firm of Cole, Birkhead, Smear & Smear; (g) your wife's 1927 hat; (h) the thoughts and recollections of Harold L. Ickes; (i) the *Daily Worker;* (j) Old Rutherford.

ANSWERS

1. Reeking, red rat-icals who love soapboxes but hate soap.

2. Your guess is just as good as ours. Apparently the case was settled in Madame Perkins' office, in Bridges' favor, and the trial is not a trial, it's a mockery.

3. (a) A fellow who used to be a Broadway hoofer and a stage door eavesdropper; (b) a fellow who speaks on the radio with a hypodermic in his voice; (c) a fellow who made a fortune by beating, not the horses, but the birth records; (d) a fellow whose name appears on an inside page of the Hearst papers; (e) a fellow in a gray hat.

4. Correction: "Clarence Hathaway is a Communist who was jailed because he did not pay a judgment entered against him in court for libeling a dead man and his wife.

5. He says he won't pay it at all! He protests that his alimony and Red dues don't leave him enough for cigarets, let alone court judgments.

6. Himself as a possible political potentate perhaps.

7. It can't be figured out. It's the 20th century's gift to the puzzles of the ages.

8. That was the third term balloon exploding in the face of Mr. Harold Ickes as Congress shot it off the ceiling of the Capitol.

9. Referring to the answer above and supplementing it, Mr. Ickes will be unemployed shortly after the beginning of the year 1941, when Mr. Roosevelt kisses the boys goodbye.

10. In the order of their importance: (a) three small cans of corn; (b) Mr. Garner's inside straight; (c) yesterday's newspaper; (d) your wife's 1927 hat; (e) the thoughts and recollections of Harold L. Ickes; (f) Old Rutherford; (g) the *New Masses;* (h) the firm of Cole, Birkhead, Smear & Smear; (i) the *Daily Worker;* (j) Earl Browder.

'REDSTART' IS NAME FOR COMMUNIST FRONTS

Here's a name for "Communist Fronts," ladies and gentlemen—those persons who lend their names, influence and maybe a few dollars to further the Communist movement, either consciously or unconsciously.

The name is "Redstart," and here's the definition:

REDSTART: One of several birds, characterized by having a red tail. In the United States this bird, although bearing a lustrous, blue-black coat, has flaming red tail feathers.

So, watch out for the "redstarts," the American League for Peace and Democracy, and others of their kind, with the black coats and the red tails.

The Third Term Balloon Descension

—Chicago Tribune

Economy in Government Is Cry Now

President's Letter Orders Department Heads to Trim Cost of Nation's Operations

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C.—President Roosevelt has directed heads of governmental departments to start a survey "for the purpose of effecting improvements in administration and economies in operation."

This order was contained in a letter to department heads released by Harold D. Smith, director of the budget. The letter stated that these economies in operation could be put into effect in many governmental units.

COMMENT:

This is one of the most promising steps taken by President Roosevelt in recent months. If ever economy is to be effected, *it must be undertaken now.* The New Deal has come to be characterized, through its own acts, as the most wasteful, spendthrift administration in our national history.

President Roosevelt scored the Hoover administration for its wastefulness; its disjointed budget, and preached the gospel of thrift and economy. Upon entering office, however, he scrapped his good intentions and rode with the nation down the road to insolvency.

To date this condition has progressed to such an extent that business is paralyzed with fear of actual national bankruptcy, and private initiative is frozen. As pointed out in a national magazine recently, governmental expenses increased 66 per cent from 1927 to 1932; 116 per cent from 1932 to 1939, and 259 per cent from 1927 through the present year!

It is estimated that in the next fiscal year, the Government will spend $2-billion on normal expenses, including social security; $1-billion, 386-million on national defense; approximately $3-billion on relief and public works; more than $1½-billion on interest on the public debt and payments to veterans, and approximately $1½-billion on agriculture. *This means a total expenditure of more than $9-billion, 300-million for a single fiscal year!*

At the same time governmental income, to meet these towering expenses, will be only some $5-billion or $6-billion. Total Federal expenditures for the seven years from July 1, 1932, to June 30 of this year, amounted to *twice as much* as the United States Government spent from the time of its creation in 1789 to 1916—a 127-year period! The seven-year figures included Post Office expenses.

Thus nothing could be more timely than Mr. Roosevelt's cry now for economies of government. Perhaps it is only a small step, a saving to be calculated in thousands and millions of dollars instead of billions, *but it is a step in the right direction.* Economies must be instituted; savings must be effected; the national debt must be whittled, or national insolvency, debt-repudiation, and the loss of credit will bring the nation to its knees, if not internal revolution.

Rayburn Endorsement of Garner Scrambles Third Term Picture

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C. — Representative Sam Rayburn of Texas, majority floor leader of the House, has announced that he will support Vice President Garner for the 1940 presidential campaign.

In response to query from the *Fort Worth Star-Telegram*, Rayburn said, "I am for that outstanding Texan and liberal Democrat, John N. Garner, for the presidential nomination in 1940, believing that if elected he will make the country a great President."

COMMENT:

Rayburn's statement is another bucket of cold water on President Roosevelt's third term fire, for the House leader in the past has been one of Mr. Roosevelt's most influential Congressional supporters.

The endorsement of Garner may be the tip-off, too, that the President has decided to forego his third term ambitions, that he has become convinced the sentiment of the country is opposed to another four years of his brand of New Dealism.

One is tempted to suspect this because Rayburn has been a loyal Rooseveltian, one who would be expected to withhold his views until the President had expressed his stand on the third term question.

But while most New Dealers are labeling Garner a "reactionary" and "conservative," Rayburn endorses him unequivocally as a "liberal Democrat." All of which is rather baffling to political seers. One conclusion is inescapable, however—the President's third term stock has dropped another point.

President Hails Attacks on Court as Compliment

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C.—President Roosevelt, in signing a bill providing for an administrative officer to oversee the affairs of all Federal Courts, recalled his own bitter fight over packing of the Supreme Court by stating that the objectives of his 1937 court program had been obtained.

"Attacks recently made on the Supreme Court itself by ultraconservative members of the bar indicate how fully our liberal ideas have already prevailed." the President said.

COMMENT:

The President's statement seems to be a boastful assertion that his foresight was such that the rest of the country has only now succeeded in catching up with him, on this matter of a "liberal" and "progressive" judiciary.

At the time of the court-packing fight two years ago, the entire nation was aroused over Mr. Roosevelt's assault on the "nine old men" and their interpretations of the Constitution, and his attempts to pack the Supreme Court with appointees of his own choice, thus virtually to dictate decisions according to his "liberal" viewpoint.

However, if the court packing objectives have been achieved—and they have to a certain extent—it is no tribute to the foresight of Mr. Roosevelt. Rather, it is a black mark against his administrative record. The President has had the opportunity to name four justices to the high bench. His first choice was Ku Kluxer Hugo Black. The nationwide storm of protest that brought ringing newspaper editorials, spontaneous church protests and general dissatisfaction, resulted in Mr. Roosevelt's "quarantine the aggressor" speech in Chicago—his first step on the dangerous treadmill of intervention in foreign affairs. Mr. Roosevelt delivered this dangerous speech chiefly to cover up the appointment of Hugo Black.

Ruinous Aftermath of Congress Revolt Leaves New Dealers Thoughtful

With an Election to Win in 1940, Administration Forces Have Only an Outworn Trick Left to Use

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C.—New Dealers are studying the final acts of the recently adjourned Congressional session — and Mr. Roosevelt's comments upon them—in an attempt to determine what would be the New Deal Policy in the second session of the 76th Congress.

The President, resting at his Hyde Park estate, flayed Congress for defeating his neutrality scheme; for discarding lending-spending measures for recovery; for killing off his housing proposals, and for slicing W.P.A. relief appropriations.

COMMENT:

Mr. Roosevelt's castigation of Congress, delivered after he had ample time to ponder both the acts of the departing Congress and the reasons for these acts, is simply face-saving.

All Mr. Roosevelt Must Do Is Win an Election

Mr. Roosevelt and his crew took one of the worst legislative lickings on record in the dying days of Congress. His object now is to patch up the New Deal hulk, pack her hold with the dynamite of popular support, point her nose at the January, 1940, session of Congress and try to float her through for one, telling blast.

The President faces a tough job. All he has to do in 1940 is win an election—either for himself or for the man of his choice—and recent events indicate that this will be much more difficult than he, and the nation, imagined.

Accordingly, the President will stump the country this autumn on his trip west; will attempt to lasso sufficient popular indignation with Congress to promote his own cause, and stir up hostile political debate to carry through the next Congressional session.

A review of the President's criticism of Congress in its last days shows the shallowness of that criticism. He charged that the Senators and Representatives in killing off his neutrality measure had "wagered" there would be no war until the middle of next January, when Congress will be back on the job.

Mr. Roosevelt's Neutrality Is Not Neutrality at All

He charged that Congressmen had "wagered" on the ability of private business and industry to "take up the slack" in employment. And he charged that by defeating lending-spending, housing, and W.P.A. appropriations, Congress had brought sad days for 20-million people affected by these acts.

In the first place, Mr. Roosevelt's brand of "neutrality" is the Baruch-Hull-Bloom-Pittman brand of "neutrality," and that is not neutrality at all—it is involvement in an inescapable war on the side of Great Britain, France and Russia. Therefore his criticism of Congress is more bogey-shouting. If there *is* a war our position will be just as secure, if not more so, than it would be with Mr. Roosevelt's fake neutrality law on the books. If Mr. Roosevelt's neutrality law passed, we would be certain to be in the next scrap. Without Mr. Roosevelt's neutrality law, we can escape.

Then, on the matter of private industry and business "taking up the slack," it is time that this private force made an *attempt* to "take up the slack" if it is ever going to do so. The trend to socialism has been so sharp in the past that one would be forgiven having an honest doubt about private business' ability to act in its own behalf.

Lending-Spending Proven a Failure, But Not Abandoned

It is no great incentive for business to ask it to forge ahead in the face of ever-mounting taxation; ever-mounting debt that shows no promise of relief. Lending-spending as a means to recovery is one of the most gigantic "floperoos" in the history of governmental experimentation. It was President Roosevelt himself who said, early in his administration, that "experimentation" would be the process used in seeking a remedy for national ills, but that if one method failed, another would be used.

This is now the seventh year of the New Deal, and lending-spending is still the only experiment tried. Despite its utter, complete, abject failure, Mr. Roosevelt still clings to it. Why? Because it does produce a transient, artificial prosperity, and yields bond profits! It is a hypodermic shot into the arm of business, but when the drug passes away, the condition is worse than before.

Federal Reserve Banks Do Their Own Law Making

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C.—President Roosevelt vetoed the bill sponsored by Senator Carter Glass to delay for four years the application of provisions of the Clayton Act which forbid interlocking bank directorates, but it was a meaningless veto.

The veto had no effect, for, four days before the veto was delivered, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve system *extended for six months* its permission to member banking directors to hold directorships in one other bank. The Federal Reserve governors acted on the discretionary authority granted them.

COMMENT:

The power of the Federal Reserve system is reflected rather glaringly in this instance. A Federal law is proposed, but vetoed by the President. Thereupon the Federal Reserve Board of Governors, in opposition to the authority of the veto, was able to use its own discretionary power to circumvent the wishes of the President, and the force of law.

The banking racket has many sorry angles, sorry from the standpoint of the taxpayer, depositor, citizen and investor, and this is one of them. The Banking Act of 1935 first ordered the termination of interlocking bank directorates in amending the Clayton Act.

The order was effective February 1, 1939. The Federal Reserve Board, however, gave permission to member bank directors to hold one other bank directorate until August 1, 1939. And, four days before the President's veto of the Glass Bill, the Board acted to extend that permission to February 1, 1940.

The Voice of Congress

Although Congress has adjourned, so much of value continues to be contributed by the people's Representatives and United States Senators that SOCIAL JUSTICE will continue this department of Congressional Recordings. Many, but by no means all of these quotations are from the "Congressional Record." Others are written by the Congressmen directly for the readers of this National Weekly. —EDITOR.

British Repudiation of Debt Deliberate!

By **HON. MARTIN L. SWEENEY**
Representative from Ohio

THERE IS STILL due this Government from foreign governments as of March 1, 1939, $13,119,304,199.38. I read to you a few of the obligations and the respective countries owing them:

Austria (now Germany's debt)	$ 26,011,672.09
Belgium paid to Mar. 1, 1939, $18,543,642.87, still owes	449,080,212.01
Czechoslovakia paid to Mar. 1, 1939, $304,178.09, still owes	165,729,490.80
Finland paid to Mar. 1, 1939, $309,315.27, and today, June 15, 1939, $160,693, still owes	8,248,799.24
France paid to Mar. 1, 1939, $221,386,302.82, still owes	4,160,824,820.69
Great Britain paid to Mar. 1, 1939, $357,896,657.11, still owes	5,418,388,374.72
Hungary paid to Mar. 1, 1939, $10,581.20, and on June 12, 1939, $9,828.16, still owes	2,364,620.70
Italy paid to Mar. 1, 1939, $57,598,852.62, still owes	2,022,745,422.62
Poland paid to Mar. 1, 1939, $2,048,224.28, still owes	259,502,346.55
Roumania paid to Mar. 1, 1939, $263,313.74, still owes	63,860,560.43
Russia still owes	385,372,179.65
Grand total due	$13,119,304,199.38

The question arises, "Can some of these nations pay their obligations to the United States?" I answer the question in the affirmative. Despite the fact that we have not received a red cent from Great Britain in the past 6 years, this great world power has been able to loan to the Soviet government since July 1, 1936, $50-million; to the Turkish government, $50-million, and an additional $30-million loaned by the British government to the Turkish government for military purchases in Great Britain; to Czechoslovakia in 1939, $40-million. They loaned to China in 1939, $25-million, and to Roumania in 1937, $25-million.

Every student of the war-debt question recognizes that Britain could very easily pay in commodities like rubber, tin, or in tea, none of which are produced in this country. Since the moratorium Great Britain has spent several billion dollars in armaments. She has subsidized the construction of supersteamships like the *Queen Mary* and the *Queen Elizabeth*, and others.

Our Republic No Accident

By **HON. GEORGE A. DONDERO**
Representative from Michigan

PRIOR TO TWO decades ago the writings and utterances of our leading American statesmen may be searched in vain for the use of the term "democracy" except in its fundamental sense as distinguished from "aristocracy" and not as a form of government. On the other hand will be found innumerable references by those statesmen to our "Republic" as a form of government.

It cannot be gainsaid that all peoples in the mass or raw state of unorganized society are democracies, as when our Articles of Confederation proved a failure and "a more perfect union" was sought.

When our independence was an accomplished fact, a nation was yet to be created and a government formed.

"We have a Government, you know, to form," wrote John Adams to Rutledge, "and God only knows what it will resemble. Our politicians, like some guests at a feast, are perplexed and undetermined which dish to prefer."

But finally, after great travail, a written Constitution erected a Republic, balancing and apportioning among the several parts a few powers that they might each restrain and correct the others.

The Republican form was not chosen by accident, but deliberately.

Futures Market Always 'Fixed'

By **HON. USHER L. BURDICK**
Representative from North Dakota

IT MUST BE REMEMBERED that there are two markets on the Board of Trade in Chicago, and in other exchanges—a cash market and a futures market. Another point to remember is that during the last 3 days of each option period, whether it be May or December, there is no futures market.

The real injury to the producer in a futures market arises from the fact that the futures market is always fixed by the world price, or the London price, less transportation of our grain and cotton to London. This fixes a price beyond which our American prices cannot go, and we are today just as we were in the days of the Revolution, dominated by England in the price structure of our staple crops.

The Agricultural Department knows all about these "futures" transactions, and it cannot plead ignorance. They have an office within a stone's throw of La Salle Street, and a representative of this Department sits in at the board meetings of the Board of Trade. While he does not vote, he, at least, has full information about all of the transactions going on there.

Does the Secretary of Agriculture not know that 99.89 per cent of all sales are "futures"? Does he not know that in permitting these transactions, the price of grain is kept down by a London program?

World's Hope in Prince of Peace

By **HON. COMPTON I. WHITE**
Representative from Idaho

I WISH TO present for the consideration of everyone with the best interest of our country at heart, the statement of a patriotic American, Mr. John W. Wenterick of Cleveland, Ohio, appearing in a booklet entitled *"Before It Becomes Treason."*

When the World War started in July, 1914, the vast majority of Americans desired their country to keep out of it, and for that very reason selected President Wilson on his famous—or shall I say "infamous" —battle cry, "I kept you out of war." Yet, in April, 1917, the declaration by which the United States of America joined the Entente Allies passed Congress almost unanimously.

The most important factor in getting America into the war was the barrage of propaganda to which our people were subjected.

The same propaganda is going on today *and comes from the same source as then.* It is, therefore, extremely important for the welfare of the American people that public opinion be formed in the light of past experience rather than in an atmosphere of excitement and sentimental appeals. Cool heads, not partisanship, are urgently needed today.

Don't let our vast wealth and the lives of our young men become the cat's-paw of European diplomatic greed and animosities. More than two decades have passed since many a mother's son closed her eyes in agony, consoled only by the thought that he gave his young life in "the war to end wars," and in spite of the untold supreme sacrifices made, the international situation today is even more distressing than it was in 1914. We had two decades of futility and have been constantly face to face with war somewhere on this globe.

We, as a race, have wasted 21 years, and even now are doing nothing worthwhile to get the answer to "What are you going to do about the peace of the world?" I hope you do not aim to solve the problem with another war to end wars or by calling names across the Atlantic or Pacific. Perhaps you are an advocate of the gospel that if you want peace you must prepare for war, and turn over all the material wealth and mental resources of this great nation to the business of planning war equipment.

What will you do? What shall I do to plan for peace? These are questions that are forced upon every one of us if we do not want our future generations and our social order to perish. Every time I think of this fateful question I marvel at the successful evasion of the real answer by our political leaders, by our teachers, by our editorial writers; yes, by our men of the cloth.

The answer to our longings for peace is so astoundingly simple: If you want peace, then teach the Christian principle, "Peace be with you," He said. If you want peace, you must prepare for peace by a sustained educational movement which does not preclude Christ and His teachings. He has set us into a world of abundance and has endowed us, unlike His creatures in the animal world, with a free will to act and think. And in these qualities only lie real liberty and freedom.

Every right-thinking man today should think of peace not only in his own country and his own people but in the Christian spirit of a universal peace instead of a world divided against itself. Do not wait for the other nation to make the start by standing aside, saying like the Pharisee, "O God, I give Thee thanks that I am not as the rest of men." This very behavior is the curse of our day. Teach peace, prepare for peace.

If we want peace we must have sound and exhaustive social and political discussions by statesmen, teachers, writers and clergy who have implicit faith in the God who said, *"Without Me you can do nothing,"* for He is the source of all talents and their purpose is to lead ourselves and others back to God. And only God is peace. Until that gospel is understood and accepted there seems little hope for peace.

Costs Gobble Up 80% of Capital

By **HON. LELAND M. FORD**
Representative from California

ANY BUSINESSMAN or thinking individual would hesitate, and would devote himself to serious thought when he finds that the total assessed valuation in this country is $139-billion, and that the Federal Government, by itself, owes some $40-billion, with a prospect of owing more. These 40-odd billions do not take into consideration the debt owed by States, counties, cities, assessment districts, and so forth.

Further thought should be given to the fact that in 1928 new capital was going into private enterprise at a monthly average rate of $446-million and that last year this amount had dropped to $70-million. In other words, in 1928, 75 per cent of our new capital went into private enterprise and 25 per cent went into government. Last year 80 per cent went into government expenses and only 20 per cent into private business.

This will give the serious thinker a shock when he realizes that instead of 80 per cent of our capital now going into private enterprise, which paid taxes, produced employment and prosperity, approximately the same 80 per cent is now going into cost of government, which is constantly calling for more taxes, and is not a producing agency but a consuming one.

C.I.O. Turns Money Over to Politics

By **HON. CLARE E. HOFFMAN**
Representative from Michigan

LAST YEAR the United Mine Workers of America gave $470,000 to the New Deal campaign fund, and other labor organizations contributed enough more to make a total of $1,700,000. That is a fair-sized contribution, wherever they got it.

Where did they get it? They got it out of the pockets of the workers. They have no other source of revenue. They are using the National Labor Relations Act and the National Labor Relations Board to force all the workers into the C.I.O. or the United Mine Workers' organization, contrary to the spirit and purpose of the law. Why are they doing that? They are doing that so that John L. Lewis can collect the membership fees and the dues from the men who want to work, who have to work for a living. After John collects it, then he will turn around and give a part of it to the Democratic campaign fund. Now, that is one way to get campaign money.

With that thought in mind, realizing that fact, we can understand why it is that the force of the administration is today thrown behind John Lewis when he goes down into Kentucky and says that no man down there can work in a coal mine until he joins the United Mine Workers because, after the United Mine Workers get the money—and they had something like $3-million in 1936—they will turn around and support the C.I.O., back financially the C.I.O., which tried to crowd all the automobile workers into that organization, and then they will collect from all those hundreds of thousands of workers a membership fee, and then they will contribute part of what they collect to the great Democratic organization. The administration and the N.L.R.B. will help Lewis to force the workers into his organization. Then Lewis will squeeze them, force dues and fees out of them, and give part of the money so collected to New Deal politicians. A sweet racket!

Why Not Admit All Alien Orphans?

By **HON. ROBERT R. REYNOLDS**
Senator from North Carolina

IF YOU ARE going to permit the unfortunate children of the world to enter the United States, why not admit an equal number from China who have been orphaned as a result of the war over there?

Then, why not permit the fatherless and motherless children of Ethiopia to come to our country for the same reason, and again, why not permit the admission of at least 20,000 orphaned children from Spain?

In other words, if we are going to admit 20,000 children from Germany, why not admit an equal number from other countries of the world where there are to be found orphaned children in destitute circumstances?

Actors Squabble in Union Battle

Jurisdictional Dispute Reduces Idols of Film World to Bitter Bickering

THE NEWS:

ATLANTIC CITY, NEW JERSEY—Threats of a nation-wide entertainment strike were heard as squabbling actors and actresses converged on American Federation of Labor Executive Council in an effort to settle the jurisdictional battle which has split their labor organizations.

The fight involves the American Federation of Actors, led by buxom Sophie Tucker, the International Alliance of Theatrical Stage Employes and the Associated Actors and Artists of America, all A. F. of L. affiliates.

COMMENT:

Boiled down, the situation seems to be that the Associated Actors, a parent body, expelled Miss Tucker's A.F.A. because of executive mismanagement. The I.A.T.S.E., an organization of stage hands, projectionists and movie cameramen, then flouted the Associated Actors by chartering the expelled A.F.A. as an affiliate.

If the A.F.L. deems it best to divide the entertainment organizations into craft unions it is only logical to expect actors and other performers to join an actors' union, not a stage hands' union. Actors and stage hands surely have little in common. A combination of their forces would in effect be an industrial, rather than a craft union.

The strike threats uttered by Ralph Morgan, president of the Screen Actors Guild, unlike threats of walkouts in other industries, are not alarming, except to those directly connected with the entertainment field. The general populace would not be adversely affected by such a strike. But the situation is typical of the results of interunion warfare. There is no quarrel with employers. Yet a strike is threatened and thousands of performers and other workers are in danger of losing an indefinite amount in wages.

Unions must learn to govern themselves and conduct their affairs in such a manner as to prevent the ever-recurring factional battles which always victimize the innocent worker.

Congress Sets Record for Farm Expenditure—No Cost-of-Production

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C. — A recapitulation shows that the 76th Congress, in its seven-month session, appropriated more than $1½-billion for the Agriculture Department expenditures in the present fiscal year.

This record splash was some $338-million more than President Roosevelt requested and was slightly greater than last year's appropriation.

COMMENT:

Congressmen doubtless feel quite proud of their achievement. Perhaps they believe they were being very magnanimous to the farmer in voting him $225-million in parity-price subsidies and in making funds available for cotton export subsidies.

Congress did a splendid job in many respects. It reared up on its hind legs and balked at further subservience to the dictatorial rule of President Roosevelt and his inept advisors.

But Congress did *not* do the one thing for the farmer that the farmer most needs and wants. It did not give the farmer a guaranteed cost of production for his products. Instead, it doled out more subsidies and granted another lease on life to the ineffectual agricultural policies of Secretary Henry Wallace.

Farmers don't prefer coddling in the form of benefits for crop control adherence. They do not want subsidies. They want only justice, namely a fair return for their products, a price embodying the cost of production and a reasonable profit.

Henry Wallace has limped along for six and one-half years with a farm program that has not only failed, but has worsened the lot of the American farmer. Still he refuses to concede that his ideas have flopped, that perhaps the cost-of-production plan is the answer to his dilemma.

But the farmers are not in accord with Mr. Wallace. Daily they are becoming more convinced that their only salvation lies in a law which would insure them a fair and equitable price for the product of their labor. When Congress comes to the same realization, America can look confidently toward the "more abundant life" which President Roosevelt so often mentions but does so little to bring about.

New Dealers, Critics Named Board Probers

Speaker Bankhead Appoints Two Administration Men on Labor Investigation

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Five Congressmen, including two New Dealers and three who can be labeled anti-New Dealers, have been named to the House Committee commissioned to investigate the National Labor Relations Board.

Heading the committee as chairman is Representative Howard W. Smith of Virginia, the Administration opponent who instigated the investigation. Other appointees are Arthur M. Healy of Massachusetts and Abe Murdoch of Utah, pro-New Dealers; and Charles A. Halleck of Indiana and Harry N. Routzohn of Ohio, who fit into the broad classification of anti-New Dealers.

COMMENT:

Speaker Bankhead deserves commendation for his choice of personnel to conduct this investigation. In selecting two New Dealers, the Speaker assured the Administration and the board of a square deal, for it is certain that Messrs. Healy and Murdoch will protect the interests of the board insofar as they are able.

This should offset any C.I.O. or Administration complaints that the inquiry will resolve into a "persecution," which protests would be not at all unlikely if a committee of five anti-New Dealers had been named.

On the other hand the presence of Smith, Halleck and Routzohn, critics of Administration measures, is a guarantee that the committee will not come up with a whitewash for the board, welcome though that would be at the White House and in C.I.O. precincts.

One of the principal objects of the investigation, which is the culmination of months of bickering between champions of the New Deal and the C.I.O. on one side and anti-New Dealers, employers and the A.F.L. on the other, is to determine whether the board's administration of the Wagner Act has been unfair. The latter group, citing the board's own record, maintains the board has shown distinct prejudice in favor of John L. Lewis' C.I.O. On that ground and others the group demands revision of the Wagner Act, a revision which will equalize the rights and obligations between employers and employes and will grant the A.F.L.'s craft unions the fair treatment they have failed to receive at the hands of the C.I.O.-minded board.

SOCIAL JUSTICE advocates modification of the act, but it is opposed to reactionary proposals which would emasculate the law and strip labor of its just gains under the Roosevelt regime. Labor should be permitted to organize and bargain collectively in order to protect itself from exploitation by unscrupulous employers. But by "labor" is meant not only the C.I.O. but the A.F.L. and independent organizations as well. The Labor Board in the past apparently has been laboring under the delusion that John L. Lewis and the C.I.O. represent *all* the labor in the United States.

It also seems to have forgotten the ethical principle that *rights* entail *obligations*, and that there must be an equitable division of rights between employer and employe. If, for example, an employer is restrained from intimidating his workers, unions likewise should be restrained from coercing them into joining unions against their will.

Under the existing law the privileges are all for labor, or more specifically for the C.I.O.; and the obligations are saddled for the most part, on the employer.

A law should be enacted and a Labor Board should be appointed which would insure justice both to employer and employe. Then labor should demand a *living annual wage*, conciliate its factional differences and try co-operating with employers. Employers, of course, should reciprocate by dealing with their employes in a Christian spirit. Only through such harmony can any real peace obtain between labor and business.

C.I.O. Opponent Wins Gubernatorial Race with A.F.L. Support

THE NEWS:

LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY — Campaigning with support of the American Federation of Labor, Lieut. Gov. Keen Johnson decisively defeated New Dealer John Young Brown for the Democratic gubernatorial nomination.

Brown, a former Congressman, and attorney for the C.I.O.'s United Mine Workers, had the active support of John L. Lewis and Senator Alben Barkley.

COMMENT:

Johnson's victory can be interpreted in no other fashion than as repudiation of the New Deal and of the C.I.O. Those were the issues in the campaign and those doubtless were foremost in the voters' minds as they cast their ballots.

Governor "Happy" Chandler, whom Senator Barkley defeated for the Senate with the aid of the President, stumped for Johnson and blasted both Brown and John L. Lewis.

Kentuckians, one may conclude, have had their fill of Lewis' reign of terror in the state's coal fields. They have rebelled at his dictatorship and at the influx of Reds into the C.I.O. movement. And no one should have a more intimate knowledge of these affairs than they.

An Answer to Critics

(Continued from Page 11)

12 the following startling statement: "Reform at the proper time your new home on entirely *Jewish basis without falling for the allurement of assimiliation.* The ancient *'sciofar'* calls again that you remain Hebrews in order that you can save yourselves and your children, instead of going to your perdition."

Ambassador Gerard's Warning Is Recalled

As far back as 1934 we read in the *New York Times*, in its October 7th edition, a word of warning spoken in friendly vein to the Jewish people by James W. Gerard, former Ambassador to Germany. He knew what transpired in Germany. And he said: "As a friend of the Jewish people, I want to state that if ever the American nation gets the idea that the Jewish race and Communism are synonymous, there is a possibility of a pogrom in the United States that will make those of the Czar's era look like a small parade." (*New York Times*, Oct. 7, 1934.)

Now, despite the efforts on the part of the American press to hide from its readers the fact that Loyalist Spain was Communist, that fact has now been well-established in every home in America. Even the Communists themselves do not deny it. According to the *New York Times* of March 21, 1939: "The Red Soviet star which so far has adorned all Republican army uniforms has been ordered removed by military decree." (George Axelson, Correspondent in Spain. *New York Times*, March 21, 1939.)

Certainly the five-pointed star of King David fluttered in the breeze with the Hammer and Sickle in Madrid and Barcelona to the discomfiture of the decent Jews throughout the world.

We have already remarked the testimony of certain elements of the American Catholic press upon these phases of the Jewish question. In a particular manner it may be said that the Catholic press of the United States has been practically unanimous in condemning the bias shown by the controlled daily press in favor of those Communistic activities which have destroyed churches and massacred millions of people, religious and laity, in various Christian lands.

The testimony of leading ecclesiastics has long since made clear the powerful part that atheistic Jewry has contributed to bring about the destruction of the Christian life and order of living. Most particularly as both Cardinal Baudrillart of France and Bishop Garcia of Spain have testified, Jewish participation in Communist cruelties in Spain has been crystal clear to the entire Catholic press and people.

It has been a source of particular sorrow to American Catholics that quite recently when many leading representatives of Christian churches united in Washington to protest against an attempt to have the United States Government *"Lift the embargo on arms and ammunition to Red Loyalist Spain,"* not one single Jewish organization, religious or otherwise, endorsed the program of "keeping the embargo," proclaimed so forcefully by Msgr. Fulton Sheen and other eminent Christian leaders.

B'nai B'rith, a Jewish group which frequently poses as an organization which seeks co-operation between Jews and Christians, publicly refused such co-operation in this case against the avowed Communist enemies of the Christian people of Spain.

It has been evident, especially in popular Jewish centers like New York City, that the sympathy and assistance of world Jewry in the United States has been markedly behind the Spanish Reds.

(TO BE CONTINUED)

(We aim to make this a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally the views expressed are not always those of this magazine—EDITOR.)

Former Critic Now Praises

To the Editor:

A few years ago I wrote Father Coughlin a rather caustic letter in which I berated him for what I considered an unjust criticism of the New Deal. You may gather a few grains of comfort from the fact that time has proven the truth of his statements via radio and Social Justice.

At the time the letter was written, my better judgment was submerged in a sea of enthusiasm, and has only lately come to the surface. My sense of reasoning, however, is none the worse for having taken a bath.

The country today is—and, in fact, has been for the past 50 years—subjected to the whims and caprices of certain individuals who would willingly sacrifice everything that someone else has in order to be known as a group of big-wigs. Their bid for prominence, however, has turned out a boomerang which at certain intervals comes back from the so-called democracies in Europe and lands with a resounding smack on the cheeks of sycophantic asses who pander to the vanity of pedigreed inbreds who have never done anything to justify their existence unless we count hypocrisy and snobbishness as virtues.

We are living in an age of extremes. Brisbane once said that if the Dionne quintuplets had been born in the United States, Wallace, Secretary of Agriculture, would have had three of them plowed under. He, Brisbane, might have added that if the Republicans were in power, they would in all probability have appointed the father of the Dionne quintuplets as Secretary of Agriculture in order to speed up production and create another surplus to be put through the process of exploitation.

If Washington, Greene, Putnam and Nathan Hale could come to life for just an hour, they would probably point an accusing finger at about 130-millions of us and ask: *"What have you done with that heritage of liberty, justice and equality bequeathed to you by the framers of the Constitution?"*

The Rev. Coughlin is one out of many who need not hang his head in shame and cry: "Am I my brother's keeper?"

—Ex-New Dealer

Eldorado, Wis

Men Have Failed God

To the Editor:

Of all the assinine concepts, L. M. takes the cake in the July 17th issue of Social Justice. *"Everything Christ taught He learned in the synagogue!"*

Read your Scripture, L. M. In the New Testament you'll find Christ at the age of 12 astonishing the learned doctors by His questions and answers.

Christ never had to be taught anything. Being the God-Man, His knowledge and wisdom always was from the very beginning. I suggest you stop studying *all* religions and learn the truth by studying of Christ. Learn why He told Peter: *"On this rock will I build My church and the gates of hell shall not prevail"* and *"I will be with you all days even to the consummation of the world."* To know Christ is to love Him and His divine words will not be enigmatic when you read: *"I am the Way, the Truth and the Light; no one cometh to the Father but by Me."*

Reading your letter brought to my mind the words of Cardinal Mercier: "It is a vain hope to expect the moral law to be observed without recourse to the idea of God. For how is the observance of the moral law to be sufficiently guaranteed if man has no certitude that a Just and Powerful God will sooner or later establish an eternal harmony between virtue and happiness on the one hand and vice and misery on the other."

How true are the words of His Eminence when we look about us! The disorders of the day have come about because man has repudiated God.

—Margaret De Pompa

Washington, D. C.

Widely Distributed

To the Editor:

You are not going to too much trouble to disprove the statements of the authors of the General Jewish Council's booklet, *"Father Coughlin—His 'Facts' and Arguments."* I am also pleased to understand that you intend to publish the refutation in book form shortly.

The object of this letter is to let you know of the craftiness of the authors of this anti-Coughlin booklet. Every teacher and professor of the Catholic college which I attend, received a copy by mail of this Jewish pamphlet. Where they obtained the list of the teachers I do not know. Surprisingly, the inaccurate pamphlet *influenced even these educated men*, for one of them in class remarked that one should not put too much confidence in Father Coughlin.

I imagine other Catholic college faculties in the metropolitan area were bombarded with this propaganda. Undoubtedly the secular colleges and universities were not neglected.

In view of the fact that teachers exert a profound influence on the thoughts of those studying under them, I do think that it would be a very good plan to neutralize this propaganda by supplying every teacher with a copy of the refutation, at least to those in institutions known to have been propagandized by the General Jewish Council.

—A Sincere Student

Brooklyn, N. Y.

Defends Fr. Coughlin

To the Editor:

I wish to reply to "Confused Liberal," whose letter appeared in Social Justice of July 31st.

Father Coughlin, besides using such terms as "atheistic Jews," "Communistic Jews," and "Jewish Communism," also repeatedly uses these terms: "atheistic Gentiles," "Communistic Gentiles," and "international Communism." He calls upon the *religious* Jews to stand with the Christians. He distinguishes between the religious and atheistic Jews, as he distinguishes between the religious and the atheistic persons of all other nationalities. He printed the *Protocols* to show that the events foretold in them are happening now. He never has said they were authentic.

Is President Roosevelt a Communist? Yet the Communist Party has endorsed him for President in 1940. So where is your argument about the "Bund cheer" for Father Coughlin? I heard Father Coughlin's comments over the radio regarding that meeting, and he said that patriotism was absent from it. He also said it is absent from all the Communist meetings.

Father Coughlin knows, and others also know, that the magazine called *Life* does not stick strictly to facts. Some of its articles are quite biased. I think that they would like to smear Father Coughlin.

—Mrs. Marguerite Brower

Moline, Ill.

Blames Extravagance

To the Editor:

It appears to me that your statements or remarks relative to existing conditions are without foundation, as the depression actually began in about 1925 when the monthly payment agencies were created and everybody and his brother bought and are still buying automobiles and other luxuries on time and paying up to 24 per cent interest for this privilege. In our State in March, about $6-million was spent for gas alone. How can you expect prosperity when 65 per cent of the income goes for whisky, gas and cigarettes? The Government has done everything to stave off a revolution. How long can they continue? It is entirely up to the people to live according to their incomes; otherwise it will result in a crash.

—C. W. Carter

Rockville, Minn.

That Nice Little Boom

To the Editor:

President Roosevelt recently asserted that the Senate's failure to act at this session on the neutrality bill has cut short "a nice little business boom" and will have a damaging economic effect until next January—at which time Congress reconvenes.

Meanwhile, according to the President, business men will make no commitments during the present international crisis because they fear the future under our present neutrality policy.

Did it ever occur to you, Mr. Roosevelt, that your own New Deal is actually responsible for undermining the confidence of business?

Did it ever occur to you that it would require more than your Administration's "neutrality" act to reassure industry?

—Or, could it be possible that in speaking of "a nice little business boom," you had in mind a boom for the arms and munitions industries—the merchandisers of murder? We sincerely hope not.

—A Disgusted American

Detroit, Mich.

How About Lawyers?

To the Editor:

In view of the sympathetic attitude of the National Youth Congress to Communism, it occurs to me to inquire what happened to the resolution denouncing Communism which was presented to the National Lawyers Guild.

If I remember correctly, Mr. Pecora and some others threatened to resign if the resolution were not passed. I do not recall reading any conclusion to this controversy. Perhaps I slipped up in reading the daily newspapers. It would be interesting to me to find out through the columns of your paper whether or not the resolution condemning Communism was passed by the National Lawyers Guild, and if not, whether Mr. Pecora and the others who threatened to do so, actually resigned from the National Lawyers Guild.

Was the matter finally "compromised" in a somewhat similar manner to that which the National Youth Congress finally "denounced dictatorships" with the mental reservation, and the case of one man, namely Mr. Gil Green, speaking for the Young Communists League, an open reservation, or rather declaration that Communists should not stand for dictatorship, but for "democracy"?

—W. G.

New York, N. Y.

German-Born Citizen Denied Job for Fear of U. S. State Department!

To the Editor:

Do we have two kinds of citizenship in America?

The other day I filed an application for a position which had been advertised in one of our Philadelphia newspapers. The position called for the knowledge of a foreign language, which I possess. Through my letter of application I had an interview with the head of that firm, a fine, cultured gentleman, and one of the highest caliber business men I have ever met. The firm itself is one which any man would be proud to work for.

However, although as this gentleman told me, I had all the qualifications which were necessary for this position, he could not, much to his regret, hire me, *because of the fact that I was born in Germany!* You see, it seems that this firm, for one reason or another, must register its employes—that is, at least the ones doing that particular kind of work—with the State Department in Washington. Now then, due to present conditions in Washington, this business man was afraid to hire me because, as he put it, he would most certainly run into difficulties with the State Department by hiring and necessarily registering me as having been born in Germany.

I called to the gentleman's attention the fact that *I am an American citizen since 1933*, but he said that, unfortunately, this does not alter the fact that I was born in Germany, and that the State Department's attitude is to *discourage the employment of German-born persons, citizens or no citizens!*

Now I am not complaining against that particular firm, for I cannot blame them for not wanting to get into trouble with official Washington, on the good will of which they, somehow seem to depend in their dealings. But the sad fact remains that as a Gentile American citizen of German birth I am being rated as a *second-class* American citizen, to be distrusted and to be excluded from a desirable job. However, something tells me that I probably would be more acceptable to official Washington if I were hailing from the land of the Soviets, or if I were one of the "chosen people."

Of course, I am not expecting you to do anything in this matter, especially since, for obvious reasons, I cannot give you the name and date of this particular firm. There is nothing one can do about these things as long as present conditions in Washington continue to exist. I only wanted to call your attention to a clear case of that much-denied "discrimination," and to show you how that famous Equality and Tolerance actually "work" in our Great Democracy.

—C. L.

Philadelphia, Pa.

Prayer for America

To the Editor:

If all God-believing Americans would set aside a few moments each day for at least one year to pray for the nation's future, a rich reward would be in store for us. It is so easy a thing to do because our Savior can be spoken to at any moment and in any place.

May I suggest this prayer:

"Almighty and Eternal God, Who gave the founders of our nation the courage to be unselfish, bestow upon us, their posterity, the courage to carry out their intent to the end that we shall emerge from the unrest in our midst a happy, prosperous and God-believing nation of peoples.

"As we approach an election in 1940, we pray for strength and foresight that we might choose for leadership someone from our midst who, God-believing himself, can exemplify Thy Commandments so that all may enjoy the full fruits of our Constitution. We pray the leadership will do more than take that sacred oath of office but will see to it that Thy will is done. Amen."

—E. B. Scheetz

Roslyn, Pa.

Detroiter Answers the Rev. Mr. Cole

To the Editor:

On Monday evening, July 24th, I watched a crowd going into the Naval Armory at Detroit to attend the meeting of "The Unitarian Fellowship for Social Justice," with Rev. Walton Cole of Toledo, in the role of the propagandist who would end all propaganda.

A portion of the crowd was evidently recruited from the substratum of central European emigration, the type who write discontent in red letters.

Mr. Cole lambasted the Hammer and Sickle and the Swastika, amid silence. This naturally makes one wonder what the tie-up was between the U.F.S.J. and the men selling the *Daily Worker* and giving away handfuls of admission tickets outside. Mr. Cole's harangue aroused quite a number of questions in one's mind:

What does he mean by "democracy"? Does he use the word in the old or new sense, meaning Communism?

Where has persecution of the Jews taken place in the United States?

In charging that Father Coughlin has used the Cross of Jesus Christ as a so-called propaganda trick, by what process of reasoning does Mr. Cole appeal to Christ in Whose divinity he, as a Unitarian does not believe, and to God Whose teachings of hell he does not accept?

As for the practice of sitting as an honored guest in a man's house, then snooping around his desk and book shelves—is this a practice advised by the U.F.S.J.?

Does a man become a Nazi because he has in his possession a Nazi speech in English? Would it have been homelike to you, Mr. Cole, had it been in Russian? I have on my desk before me a copy of *The Free Press* (for which may Heaven forgive me), one of Pelley's books that I don't agree with because it slams the Jews, a copy of the *Protocols*, and a church history. According to Mr. Cole's reasoning, then, I'm a journalist, a Silver Shirt, a Jew and a priest. Well, if Father Coughlin is a Nazi, I'm all of them!

It seems that Mr. Cole's principal squawk is that his friends object to Father Coughlin's use of the word "Jew." One can understand this: There was a time in history when it was an honored name, but a certain type of Jew has dragged it in every gutter in Europe, and now he can't take it.

Mr. Cole said the U.F.S.J. was started 30 years ago. This was about the time the church he represents was known in Scotland as "the Socialists' Church" and was largely attended by Socialists who loudly proclaimed they were agnostics, read *The Clarion* and supported Robert Blatchford, whose unbelief was refuted in the pages of *The Clarion* again and again by Hilaire Belloc. Is this then the school from which was spawned the so-called Fellowship? *In all of this 30 years what has it accomplished for "social justice"?* As Mr. Cole didn't say, we presume they have just been given a shot and woke up. Who paid for the shot, Mr. Cole?

The greatest anti-Semite, Mr. Cole, is the Jew, and he's been on the job for years before you were born and, if he does not change his ways, will continue long after you are dead. He has always been audible when anyone stepped on him and, as I said before, if he can't talk *he'll always be able to pay a Gentile to "front" for him.*

Your whole speech laid you open to the honest doubts of the decent citizen, and I would respectfully ask Father Coughlin to take you and your so-called *Unitarian Fellowship for Social Justice* apart and show us what makes you "tick."

—Bill Hall

Detroit, Mich.

Great 'Columbian Contest' Half Over

YOU CAN STILL GARNER NEAT HEAP OF $2,500.00

QUESTIONS FOR THIS WEEK

QUESTION NO. 13

Why Are Communism and Democracy Incompatible?

1. Communism is theistic.
2. Communism does not permit of rule by the people
3. Democracy is essentially pro-God.
4. Christianity cannot exist without democracy.
5. Democracy denies private ownership of property.
6. Democracy is essentially fascistic.
7. Communism permits freedom of religion.
8. Democracy means totalitarianism.

QUESTION NO. 14

Describe Christianity

1. It is modernistic.
2. It is naturalistic.
3. It is nationalistic.
4. It is Communistic.
5. It is supra-nationalistic.
6. It is mystic.
7. It is fascistic.
8. It is imperialistic.

Fill in This Coupon and Mail with 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 13 For Question No. 13 I select Answer No. ☐ No. 14 For Question No. 14 I select Answer No. ☐

Name _____

Address _____

Town or City _____ State _____

HERE'S AN EXTRA COUPON FOR A FRIEND

Fill in This Coupon and Mail with 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 13 For Question No. 13 I select Answer No. ☐ No. 14 For Question No. 14 I select Answer No. ☐

Name _____

Address _____

Town or City _____ State _____

RULES OF THE CONTEST

1. Open to all readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE, except employes of Father Coughlin or members of their families.

2. The contest shall consist of 50 questions and shall run for 13 consecutive weeks, beginning on Monday, July 10, 1939. Two questions each week for 12 weeks and all 50 questions to appear on the 13th week. Each question deals with the social justice principles laid down by Father Coughlin in his radio addresses, or with the contents of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine.

3. A Grand Prize of $2,500.00 will be awarded the reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE returning the best or most appropriate answers to the 50 questions, such answers to be based on the viewpoint of Father Coughlin and SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine as expressed over the radio or in print. The reader or readers submitting the next nearest correct answers and otherwise complying with the rules shall be eligible for the additional prizes in the order of their correctness.

4. In order to qualify as a prize-winner, the contestant is required to send in 10 cents in coin (not stamps) with each pair of answers. For these remittances the contestant shall have sent without further cost, two copies of Father Coughlin's 1938-1939 radio lectures, entitled: *"Am I an Anti-Semite?"* and *"Why Leave Our Own?"*

5. We cannot take into account solutions without signed names or addresses. Neither can claims to their ownership be recognized. We cannot be responsible for coupons arriving unduly late or lost in the mails. By entering the contest the contestant agrees to accept as final the decision of the judges as to procedure and final outcome.

6. In event of ties additional questions will be submitted. In event of final ties, after two such submissions, duplicate prizes will be awarded.

7. Do NOT decorate coupons. Neatness will not count.

8. Any inquiry, the reply to which could not affect outcome of contest, will be acknowledged.

9. A contestant may send in any number of sets of duly qualified answers and each set will be judged as a unit, but no individual may win more than one prize. If submitting more than one set of answers, the contestant is required to keep them distinct by designating them "Set 1," "Set 2," "Set 3," etc. Each submitted set shall entitle the contestant to the two books specified in Rule 4.

This week is high tide in our great "COLUMBIAN CONTEST," in which 159 readers will win as many prizes in a list totalling $6,500.00. The competition is half-over this week—but there is still time for you to enter and gallop away with the Grand Prize of $2,500.00; the Second Prize of $1,400.00; the Third Prize of $1,000.00, or one of the other 156 cash awards.

When you really figure out what you could do with $2,500.00 in cash right now—and then when you realize you have as good a chance to win it as any other contestant—you'll naturally enter right away.

Here's how to do it:

Right down at the bottom of this page, you'll find what is known as a "Past Questions Coupon." When you clip this Coupon, fill it out and send in to the "Contest Editor." He will rush right back to you all past questions which have appeared in the Contest so far.

Read Them—and Start

GRAND PRIZE	$2,500.00
Second Prize	1,400.00
Third Prize	1,000.00
Fourth Prize	300.00
Fifth Prize	200.00
Four prizes of $25.00 each	100.00
Fifty prizes of $10.00 each	500.00
100 prizes of $5.00 each	500.00
Total prizes	$6,500.00

All prizes are doubled to winners who enter during the first five weeks of the contest. Therefore—your opportunity to gain fortune is just twice as good because of a little speed on your part.

INSTRUCTIONS

1. Study the questions. Mark your selection of the best or most appropriate answers on the coupons below the questions and send in EACH WEEK with 10 cents in coin (not stamps).

2. If you wish, you may pay in full NOW, $2.50, and then send in your weekly coupons without further remittance.

3. Each question pertains to the social justice principles laid down by Father Coughlin, to the contents of his radio addresses or to the contents of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine. Proper or best and most appropriate answers to all questions are found in Father Coughlin's radio speeches and in SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine.

One out of the list of suggested answers under each question will be the best or most appropriate, based upon the pronouncements of Father Coughlin or this national weekly. It is your job as a contestant to try to select that particular answer.

4. In order to qualify as a prize-winner, each contestant is required to remit $2.50 for each complete set of solutions submitted. THE NET PROCEEDS OF THE CONTEST GO INTO THE BROADCASTING FUND TO ASSURE CONTINUANCE OF FATHER COUGHLIN'S WEEKLY RADIO ADDRESSES!

5. If you run more than one set of answers, be sure to mark your Sets "Set 1," "Set 2," "Set 3," etc. Each set stands alone in the judging. Coupons are NOT taken from one set to fill in bad "holes" in another set.

More than that—he will also send you AT ONCE your two Father Coughlin books, "Am I an Anti-Semite?" and "Why Leave Our Own?" as an aid to you in setting down the answers to the Contest questions.

Only six weeks left! A few weeks after that time, 159 readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE will be made happy by receipts of checks aggregating $6,500.00—just for a little thought each week and a few hours of good, solid thought at the end of the competition.

So—

What's the sense of delaying? You don't want to run the risk of feeling a low-down sense of disappointment when you see the results of the Contest—see the pictures of the winners—and know that your name and picture could be there—if you had entered.

Send in the "Past Questions Coupon" this very day—and a complete change in your life could be the result.

Are you on?

GET YOUR FATHER COUGHLIN BOOKS NOW!

Immediately you fill in and return your "Past Questions Coupon" appearing on this page, you will be sent your Past Questions, right down to date. You will also be sent at once your two copies of *"Am I an Anti-Semite?"* and *"Why Leave Our Own?"* containing the 1938-1939 radio addresses of Father Coughlin.

While not necessary to victory in the Contest, these books will prove valuable in answering the Contest questions. You should have them at once.

BOARD OF JUDGES

All Contest questions have been passed upon and sealed. The Board of Judges determining the correctness and appropriateness of the questions is made up of six members of the SOCIAL JUSTICE editorial staff.

'PAST QUESTIONS COUPON'

CONTEST EDITOR
'SOCIAL JUSTICE',
Royal Oak, Mich.:

Please send me at once all Past Questions which have appeared in the "COLUMBIAN CONTEST" thus far. I understand that when I return my answers to these Past Questions, together with my entrance remittance, I shall be even with all other persons in the Contest.

My Name Is _____

My Address Is _____

Town or City _____ State _____

FILL IN AND MAIL RIGHT NOW

Address Contest Editor
'SOCIAL JUSTICE', Royal Oak, Mich.

THE VOICE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

This space is devoted to the inspirational verse and bits of philosophy sent in by the many listeners to the *Voice of Social Justice!* The *Voice of Social Justice* emanating from the broadcast studios of this magazine is heard Mondays through Fridays at 3 o'clock E.S.T. over WHIP, Hammond, Indiana and CKLW serving the Windsor and Detroit area.

If you have a poem or a tiny selection of homely philosophy, please send it to the *Voice of Social Justice*, Royal Oak, Michigan.

Footsteps

IT HAPPENED long ago, but I remember it was late at night; and the nursery was cold, dark and full of eerie shadows. Alone, restless, I had realized the bedcovers had fallen off, and the pillow was a hard solid lump. My terrified eyes saw things that did not exist; a sensitive body felt pain that was unreal. The chill of terror inspired by childish fancy gripped me. I cried out. And then I listened in nervous suspense for sounds in the hallway. I heard them—sounds that were faint echoes at first; sounds quick and staccato; encouraging sounds that grew louder and more rapid in beat as they approached the nursery reassuringly. Yes, they were coming! I would be all right now. The Footsteps were coming!

A Light and a Face and a Pair of Hands came through the nursery door with the Footsteps. It was a smiling, tender, beautiful Face, with the kindest and softest blue eyes—exactly like God's sky. Soft, crooning words floated over me, reassured me; and smooth, cool, slender Hands darted skillfully here and there. My pillow became soft as down, the covers were tucked in, I was warm, comfortable, unafraid. Now I could go back to sleep. The Bogie Man could never get me while the Footsteps were near.

Years later, wracked with the agony of fever, hovering between life and death, seeing nothing of what was going on about me—not caring—the Footsteps came and went, the blue eyes smiled, and constantly through the painful hours when the Grim Reaper raised his scythe to sever the silken cord, the Footsteps gathered me close, defeated him, and whispered soft little prayers.

There came a day—long after manhood had been reached—when it came to me poignantly, suddenly that the quick gayety of other years was missing in the Footsteps. They were slower, a little tired they seemed; the slender Hands were hardened and toil-worn. The Face had more lines, but the eyes were still blue as the summer skies, and smiling.

And then, one day the Footsteps went out of my life forever. I fervently prayed they might not leave me but God had already prepared a place for her who had served Him so well.

I strained my imagination to bring the Footsteps back to the lonely rooms, but no sound came. Since babyhood I had had the Footsteps; and now—tender recollections replace them.

Beloved Footsteps! How you suffered, labored and sacrificed that I might have my puny place in the world! How happy you were over my empty little triumphs! And how sad you were when the wheel of fortune ruled against me. Where on earth shall I find a love so unselfish, so magnificent as yours?

Oh, beloved Footsteps, oh, Mother, forgive my childish transgressions and wilful flights of unruliness. Let me make contrition for the grief and pain I brought you by my thoughtless words and actions.

Dearest Mother, may your spirit ever guide me along the path of truth and honor; and even though your Footsteps sound for me no more, let them still guide me to the goal of God that I may be pure and worthy as you taught me to be.

And when my hour strikes, Mother, will your spirit hover near—as did the Footsteps in the nursery of a frightened little boy—and when I cross to the Bar of Justice and Mercy will my hand be in yours, comforted, calmed, brought into Safe Harbor?

By Don Picardy

'Forget'

Forget each kindness that you do,
As soon as you have done it;
Forget the praise that falls to you
The moment you have won it.

Forget the slander that you hear
Before you can repeat it;
Forget each slight, each spite, each sneer,
Wherever you may meet it.

Submitted by
Mrs. Josephine V. Klaus
St. Paul, Minn.

The Best Things in Life Are Free

There is no limit to the good and perfect gifts sent down to us from on high. If we could only realize this, we would be happy and contented because we would also know that God gives us everything we need. Many of the things that we want and do not have are not really worth while. We are better off without them. The best things in life are free: God's love, which He pours out upon us so generously, the love of our parents and other dear ones, the sunshine, the fresh air, and all the wonders of nature.

Whoever you are, be noble;
Whatever you do, do well;
Whenever you speak, speak kindly,
Give joy wherever you dwell.

Ruskin

My Thoughts

Though I am a naught, a ruin old,
My thoughts are free, my thoughts are bold
Within my heart and my soul, I ween
That I am the equal of any queen.
For is not my scepter the fluent pen,
The twenty-six letters my vassal men,
Who readily will obey my command
Whenever I guide them with ruling hand?
I join them and send them into the field
And if no success in battle they yield
I try it again, I am not vexed,
And hopeful I send them into the next.
I cannot abstain forever to try
To rule my subjects until I die;
If naught but a failure my life has been,
The pen in my hand I felt like a queen.

By Matilda Altheimer

The Angel's Arrow

An Angel stood in the heavens
And aimed with his golden bow,
Straight at the heart of the City
Thousands of miles below.

His arrow was formed of "mercy,"
And tipped with "eternal rest"
The wings were of "love and pity"
And "peace" was its shining crest.

In the heart of the teaming city
A woman, haggard and worn
Starving and ragged and weary,
Stumbled her way forlorn.

Eighteen or nineteen summers—
No more—were over her head,
And she clasped in her arms a baby,
A frail, little human thread.

Its tiny, wee face was marble,
It ceased not a weary wail,
And the face of the young girl mother
Grew ever more wild and pale.

She staggered along the pavement,
And nobody turned their head;
And nobody gave her pity,
And nobody gave her bread.

She raised her eyes to the heavens,
And called to the God of peace,
And she saw the arrow coming,
And knew that her pain would cease.

Straight through the heart of the baby
It passed to the Mother's breast,
And mother and wailing infant
Have entered eternal rest.

They lift her from the pavement,
They notice her—now she's dead,
But saw not the gleaming arrow,
"Starvation and want," they said.

Oh men of the teeming city,
Ye yet have this truth to learn,
The angels of God have pity,
Were ye, in your hardness spurn.

By E. M. C.
Submitted by Mrs. G. Lane

A Doctor's Morning Prayer

O Lord, I offer up to you this day.
Walk with me through its house; show me the way
To draw from Your divinity power to heal
The sick, to lessen pain and grief, to feel
That I have brought them to the Mercy Seat
So they can lay their sorrows at Your feet.
If I must stand aside and yield to death,
Let me at least comfort that last-drawn breath.
Give me courage to share with those made weak
By pain. Let me have love for those who seek
In loneliness a friendship's staff. Defend from
Harm the babies born today. And lend me Your grace,
That all my life may stand unblemished, strong,
Nor built on changing sand: Securely wrought of all things good and true,
A signpost that will point the way to You.

By Edith Tatum from
the *Good Housekeeping* magazine

New Every Morning

Every day is a fresh beginning,
Every morn is the world made new:
You who are weary of sorrow and sinning,
Here is a beautiful hope for you—
A hope for me and a hope for you.

All the past things are past and over;
The tasks are done and the tears are shed.
Yesterday's errors let yesterday cover;
Yesterday's wounds, which smarted and bled
Are healed with the healing which night has shed.

Yesterday now is a part of forever,
Bound up in a sheaf, which God holds tight,
With glad days and sad days and bad days which never
Shall visit us more with their bloom and their blight,
Their fullness of sunshine or sorrowful night.

Let them go, since we cannot re-live them,
Cannot undo, and cannot atone;
God in His mercy receive, forgive them!
Only the new days are our own;
Today is ours, and today alone.

Here are the skies all burnished brightly,
Here is the spent earth all reborn,
Here are the tired limbs springing lightly
To face the sun and to share with the morn,
In the chrism of dew and the cool of dawn.

Every day is a fresh beginning;
Listen, my soul, to the glad refrain,
And, in spite of old sorrow and older sinning,
And puzzles forecasted and possible pain,
Take heart with the day, and begin again.

—Susan Coolidge
Submitted by Eleanor Potter
Newark, N. J.

The League of the Little Flower Requests You to pray for the Sick and the Dead

"I beseech you therefore, brethren, through our Lord Jesus Christ and by the charity of the Holy Ghost, that you help me in your prayers for me to God." ROMANS 15:30

DECEASED

ILLINOIS
Chicago
Frank A. Smith

INDIANA
Indianapolis
Dr. Chas. C. Root

MASSACHUSETTS
Clinton
Mrs. Mary Hastings
Scituate
Mrs. Frances Slattery

MICHIGAN
Rockwood
Mr. Frank Lezotte

MISSOURI
St. Louis
Theresa Albrecht

NEW YORK
Albany
James F. Walsh
Brooklyn
Mr. Glacken
Watervliet
William Chuckta

PENNSYLVANIA
Pittsburgh
Mrs. Mary Loyola McGrath

RHODE ISLAND
Providence
Thomas F. Martin

WISCONSIN
Milwaukee
Mr. Frank Werlein

FOREIGN

IRELAND
Mrs. Johannah Murphy

ILL

MICHIGAN
Detroit
Mrs. Sauters

NEW HAMPSHIRE
Keene
Miss Mary Rose Fontaine

NEW YORK
Binghamton
Edward Fitzgerald
Watervliet
Anna Chuckta

OHIO
Cincinnati
Miss Sadie Klein

Divine Heart of Jesus convert sinners, save the dying, deliver the holy souls from purgatory (Indulgence of 300 days, every time Pius X, Nov. 6, 1906).

You are invited to join the League of the Little Flower.

BENEFITS: 1. You are remembered every morning at Mass at the Shrine of the Little Flower.

2. You are remembered every morning at a Special Mass said for our intentions at the Tomb of Christ near Jerusalem.

OBLIGATION: Each day say the Lord's Prayer for the intention of the Little Flower. Her intention is to lead souls back to God.

PRIVILEGES: You are privileged to enclose the names of the deceased members of your family. They will be remembered each day at the Sacrifice of the Mass.

FEES: Each living member is requested to contribute one dollar a year.

The money derived from this will be used to help Father Coughlin promote his religious activities.

Mail your membership dues to Father Coughlin at Royal Oak, Mich.

Your prayers are earnestly solicited for our sick friends and for the faithful departed who have recently passed to their reward.

Wishing to take part in the activities of the League of the Little Flower, I hereby make application to become one of its members.

Herewith is enclosed _____ dollar(s) as membership fee for the League of the Little Flower for the person(s) listed below:

Name _____

Street _____

City and State _____

'The Short and Simple Annals of the Poor'

MILLIONS of Americans are suffering from malnutrition and undernourishment—but there are surpluses of wheat and meat.

Millions of men, women and children are clothed in the niggardly raiment of poverty—but mountains of surplus cotton are piled high in the southern sun.

An army of American men, humiliated by the dole, are sinking, sinking into the slough of despair through forced unemployment—but industry, when freed from fear, yawns to employ them.

Thousands of farmers are reduced daily to the status of tenant serfs after the vast national "mortgage trust" has had its way with them—but the banks are laden with surplus debt money.

Thousands of families are daily made homeless by eviction and foreclosure—but there is sufficient shelter for all in this nation. All of these conditions, Mr. and Mrs. America, have their root in an international scheme to Bolshevize the United States by means of a privately controlled financial whip.

There was no middle class in Russia, and Bolshevism won easily.

There HAS BEEN a staunch and independent middle class in America, but it is being slowly and surely crushed out on the anvil of international money. Thus is the way being cleared for Bolshevism here.

The voice of Father Coughlin on the airwaves of this nation is our last bulwark against Bolshevism. Unless millions of additional citizens are reached this Fall and Winter, the great engulfment can easily take place.

Not only must Father Coughlin hold his present network of stations. He must amplify his facilities so as to include the entire nation.

This cannot be done unless EVERY READER of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine does his or her part towards financing this mighty and important project.

Have you done yours? Donations of $1, $2, $5, from those in moderate circumstances, and $10, $20, $50 and $100 from those more fortunate have made Father Coughlin's weekly addresses possible down to date.

Thousands of others must help—or the job cannot be done.

Can you be depended upon?

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., INC.
Royal Oak, Michigan.

I wish to contribute to the 1939 Summer Broadcasting Fund so that Father Coughlin may continue to spread principles of genuine Americanism.

I hereby pledge the sum of $..... which I am enclosing herewith or which I will send in two equal monthly remittances on or before Aug. 25 and on or before Sept. 25.

My Name Is .....

My Address Is .....

City or Town ..... State .....

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

MORE G.O.P. BOYS SIGN UP FOR THE PRELIMINARY BOUTS

BRIDGES OF NEW HAMPSHIRE RUNS ON YOUTH PLATFORM; TAFT CALLS FOR REFORMS

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Senator H. Styles Bridges of New Hampshire, just past 41, is out for the Republican nomination for President on a youth platform.

His 40-year-old campaign manager, Rep. Charles Hawks, Jr., of Wisconsin, says:

"We want to interest young people of the country in their Government, and I think we can do it."

To whip up enthusiasm and support from the ranks of the nation's youth, Senator Bridges will canvass the country this fall on a lecture tour, and according to all present indications he should get a rousing reception. Of all the problems now facing this country, none has been so neglected and forgotten as the nation's youth.

COMMENT:

Entry of the New Hampshire Senator brings one more to the roster of "preliminary aspirants" who want Mr. Roosevelt's job. Definitely classifiable as G.O.P. "entries" are—

Senator Arthur H. Vandenburg of Michigan, who says the job is "too desperately important to be pursued in orthodox fashion by self-starters." True, but the Hatch bill bars senatorial campaigning anyway.

New York's reform prosecutor, Dist. Atty. Thomas E. Dewey, is another Michigan "son."

Frank Gannett, the New England publisher, although a Conservative, has been advocating a Constitutional money reform even longer than he's been a candidate for President.

Then there's Mr. Hoover himself; Col. Knox, if not Landon; Manager John Hamilton and a host of favorite sons.

* * *

But mere opposition to Mr. Roosevelt, or indictment of New Deal failures, will not elect any Republican President in 1940. A positive program of reform must be undertaken — *at least promised.*

Senator Robert A. Taft of Ohio, himself the son of a President and Chief Justice, agrees that the "unpleasant job" ahead of the next President is such that "no sensible man could be eager to assume it." Yet, if his party insists, he will take it. Senator Taft shows an appreciation of the party's responsibility. His statement is, at least, a start. He says:

"Unless the whole present tendency of the Government is redirected, we cannot long maintain financial solvency or free enterprise or even individual liberty in the United States, but the leaders of the movement against New Deal fallacies must have the courage to incur the unlimited displeasure of every vested interest whose selfish purposes conflict with a radical policy of reform.

"Furthermore, they must work out a very difficult problem of continuing an adequate provision for the less fortunate people through relief, old age pensions, subsidized housing and the like, on the one hand; while on the other they must restore financial solvency and the spirit of business initiative and expansion, which alone can cure unemployment. This is not going to be easy or pleasant."

SENATOR ROBERT A. TAFT
(of Ohio)
He Seeks 'Unpleasant Job'

TUNE IN THE VOICE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

CKLW
Windsor and Detroit
3 to 3:30—7 to 7:30 p.m.

★ Twice Daily ★

WHIP
Hammond, Indiana
3 to 3:30—6 to 6:30 p.m.